

# CHARLES R. HONTS, PH. D.

---

July 2, 2015

Judge Michael Heavey (Ret.)  
Judges for Justice  
P.O. Box 46581  
Seattle WA 98146

Re: Christopher Tapp

Judge Heavey:

At your request I reviewed the materials you provided from a series polygraph/interrogations sessions that took place in January and February of 1997 with Chris Tapp as the subject/suspect (hereinafter The Tapp Polygraphs). You asked me to evaluate the quality of those polygraph examinations and to comment on the use of the polygraph in the contiguous interviews and interrogations of Mr. Tapp.

## **1. My Qualifications**

- 1.1. I am a Professor of Psychology at Boise State University, 1910 University Drive, Boise, Idaho 83725. In 1976 I was trained as a polygraph examiner at the Backster School of Lie Detection in San Diego, California. I practiced full time as a polygraph examiner between 1976 and 1980. During that time I was trained in police interrogation practices and, as a private polygraph examiner, I conducted interrogations and took confessions in a number of cases. In 1980 I entered graduate school to study Psychology. I hold a Ph.D. degree in Psychology with a concentration on human psychophysiology. My central area of study and research subsequently developed in the sub-discipline of Psychology known as Psychology and Law. Polygraph testing has been a focus over the length of my academic career although I have also done research on jury behavior, eyewitness identification, eyewitness memory, assessing the credibility of child witnesses, interrogations and confessions. I have published a number of peer-reviewed scientific papers, book chapters, and training materials on those topics, including on interrogations and confession phenomena.
- 1.2. Most recently (April, 2015) I attended the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association (RMPA). At that meeting I attended a number of sessions and invited addresses where the topic was the most current research on interrogation techniques and confession phenomena. I also gave the RMPA opening plenary address where, among other things, I discussed the role of interrogations in the wrongful conviction of the innocent.
- 1.3. My polygraph practice continues to this day as a secondary professional pursuit to my career in Psychology. I am currently licensed as a Polygraph Examiner in the state of New

Mexico. My New Mexico license was originally issued in July of 1995. Other states where I have been licensed are listed in my Curriculum Vitae.

- 1.4. Since 1982 I have given basic instruction and continuing education in a number of venues in the polygraph profession. Those educational activities include lectures and instruction with: the United States Department of Defense Polygraph Institute (now known as the National Center for Credibility Assessment, NCCA. NCCA provides basic and advanced training for all of the Federal polygraph programs.), the United States Secret Service, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and the Canadian Police College. On invitation, I have also given continuing education lectures and instruction in many other venues the United States and in a number of other countries. Those continuing education activities were usually with law enforcement, but have also included private polygraph examiners, psychologists, lawyers and judges. The other countries where I have lectured include Canada, China, Columbia, Israel, Italy, Mexico, Norway, Sweden and The Netherlands. Those continuing educational activities included lectures and instruction on polygraphs, child witnesses, interrogations and false confessions.
- 1.5. Through my training, research, teaching, consulting, and employment I have become highly familiar with all the major techniques used in the polygraph profession. During the last 10 years, the area of interrogations, confessions, and false confessions has taken on a larger portion of my research and continuing education efforts. I have appeared as an expert witness on the topics of interrogation, confessions and false confessions in a number of courts of law in the United States, including in the State of Idaho. Through my training, research, teaching and consulting, I have become highly familiar with all the major interrogation techniques used by law enforcement and national security in the United States and around the world.
- 1.6. I have appeared as an expert witness on the polygraph and on my other areas of research expertise in a number of courts of law in the United States and elsewhere. Those appearances are listed in my Curriculum Vitae. A true and correct current copy of my Curriculum Vitae is provided with this report. My Curriculum Vitae accurately provides a list of my education, training, professional licensure, publications, presentations, academic employment history, and a history of my sworn appearances as an expert witness.

## **2. Concerning Polygraph Testing: Methods, Standards, and Validity**

- 2.1. Polygraph tests have gained general acceptance in the scientific fields of psychology and psychophysiology and in the areas of those disciplines devoted to credibility assessment. Psychophysiological credibility assessment, commonly known as polygraph testing, has long passed the experimental stage.
- 2.2. In practice, virtually all polygraph instruments used for psychophysiological credibility assessment record measures from at least three physiological systems that are controlled by the autonomic nervous system. Recordings are usually made of palmar sweating (commonly known as the galvanic skin response or the electrodermal response), relative blood pressure (obtained from an inflated cuff on the upper arm), and respiration (obtained from volumetric sensors placed around the chest and/or abdomen). Many field polygraph instruments also make measurements of peripheral vasomotor activity and monitor the subject's movements.

- 2.3. The basis of polygraphy or psychophysiological credibility assessment is a scientific theory that can be and has been tested with the methods of science. Any conscious effort at deception by a rational individual causes involuntary and uncontrollable physiological responses through the autonomic nervous system that may include measurable reactions in blood pressure, peripheral pulse-amplitude, breathing and electrodermal response.
- 2.4. **Comparison Question Tests.** The most commonly used techniques for the psychophysiological detection of deception are comparison question tests (CQT). The theory of these comparison question tests is as follows: The CQT assesses a person's credibility by looking for a differential reaction between two types of questions. The first type of question is known as a relevant question. Relevant questions are direct accusatory questions that address the issue under investigation (e.g., Did you shoot John Doe?). The second type of question, comparison questions, are ambiguous questions to which the examiner usually elicits a "No" answer (e.g., Before 2010, did you ever do anything that was dishonest, illegal or immoral?). It is assumed that the response to the comparison questions is a lie that has been made important to the subject by the nature of the questions presentation.<sup>1</sup> Some versions of the CQT direct the subject to answer comparison questions with a lie. There are standardized procedures that are required for setting the appropriate context for the relevant and comparison questions. If those procedures are not followed high error rates will occur with actually innocent subjects.
- 2.5. The rationale of the comparison question test predicts that when done properly, guilty subjects will produce larger physiological responses to the relevant questions to which they know they are deceptive, than to the relatively unimportant comparison questions. Innocent subjects are expected to produce larger physiological responses to the comparison questions, to which they are assumed to be either deceptive or uncertain of the veracity of their answer, than to the truthfully answered relevant questions.
- 2.6. The CQT technique is based on sound underlying scientific theories and has been tested by the scientific method. The basic scientific theory of the psychophysiological detection of deception and the various techniques used for the detection of deception were subjected to numerous scientific tests during the past 30 years. The results of those scientific tests have been published in high quality peer-reviewed scientific journals<sup>2</sup>.
- 2.7. **Characteristics of a Properly Conducted CQT Polygraph.**
  - 2.7.1. The CQT technique is a standardized psychological test.
  - 2.7.2. Standards for ethics and practice in conducting valid polygraph tests are widely available in both the professional polygraph literatures.<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> See the following for a detailed description of the presentation of relevant and comparison questions: Raskin, D. C., & Honts, C. R. (2002). The comparison question test. In M. Kleiner (Ed.), *Handbook of polygraph testing*. London: Academic (1-49).

<sup>2</sup> See the recent reviews and treatments in: Raskin, D. C., Honts, C. R., & Kircher, J. C. (2014). *Credibility assessment: Scientific research and applications*. Oxford, UK: Academic Press. ISBN: 978-0-12-394433-7 (ebook version available online 17 December 2013).

<sup>3</sup> See Supra Note 1 and American Association of Police Polygraphists, Inc., *AAPP Examiner Handbook, Revised 2013*.

- 2.7.3. Conducting a CQT on a single simple forensic issue will take about 90 minutes from first introduction, to rendering an opinion. Tests involving complex issue may take twice as long. Please note that those times are exclusive of any post-test interview or interrogation.
- 2.7.4. All polygraph examinations should be recorded in their entirety.
- 2.7.5. The polygraph examiners is expected to show impartiality and fairness to the subject at all times.
- 2.7.6. A properly conducted polygraph runs through a number of phases:
  - 2.7.6.1. **Introduction.** The examiner and subject become acquainted. Informed consent to test is obtained. General information is obtained from the subject about his or her education, general health, mental health, sleep the previous night, use of prescription drugs, work background, contact with the police, and knowledge or experience with the polygraph.
  - 2.7.6.2. **Free Narrative:** The subject is then asked to give her or his version as to why they are there to be tested. This is conducted as an interview not as an interrogation. The subject's version is accepted without interruption or challenge. When needed, the examiner may give open-ended prompts to manage or continue the narrative, but the examiner must be extremely careful to never lead the subject nor to provide information about the crime. When the subject appears to have finished, the examiner should always ask if there is anything else the subject believes that the examiner should know. During the Free Narrative, the examiner should do as little talking as possible.
  - 2.7.6.3. **Preparation of the Test Stimuli:** During this phase the examiner does most of the talking. The test questions are discussed with the subject. All of the relevant questions are reviewed with the subject. It is extremely important to explicitly define any potentially ambiguous terms through a discussion that makes it clear that the question has the same meaning to the subject as to the examiner.
    - 2.7.6.3.1. In the Probable-lie variant of the CQT (apparently the technique that was attempted in The Tapp Polygraphs), the comparison questions are presented to the subject under a subterfuge that they are there to assess the subject's character or to show that he or she is not the kind of person who would have committed the crime.
    - 2.7.6.3.2. Probable-lie comparison questions must be of a semantic form and presentation so that the subject's response is probably a lie.
    - 2.7.6.3.3. Toward that end the examiner designs semantically complex comparison questions that cover high frequency, but undesirable events.
    - 2.7.6.3.4. Comparison questions typically cover a long period of time.
    - 2.7.6.3.5. Ambiguous language is deliberately used.
    - 2.7.6.3.6. Furthermore, the examiner interrupts and quickly brings to a halt any attempt by the subject to make admissions.

- 2.7.6.3.7. Probable lie comparison questions are supposed to address topic that appear directly related to the issue of the relevant questions. For example, if the relevant questions concern a murder, the probable lie comparison should address hurting someone or fantasizing about killing someone. For example, appropriate probable-lie comparison questions for a 21 year old suspected of a murder would be: “During the first 20 years of your life did you ever think about killing someone?” or “During the first 20 years of your life did you ever hurt someone who loved you?” Comparison about lesser crimes like theft or lying, would be considered weak alternatives that would bias the test toward false positive errors (actually innocent people failing).<sup>4</sup>
- 2.7.6.4. **Acquaintance Test (aka, Demonstration, Stimulation, or Numbers Test):** After the review of the questions the examiner describes the instrument and the sensors that will be placed on the subject. A rationale for psychophysiological responding while lying is presented to the subjects. The subject is then told that an acquaintance test will then be conducted to adjust the instrument to the subject’s unique physiology and to demonstrate that the subject’s responds appropriately when lying. The subject is then told to pick a number or a card. That number or card is then noted. The subject is attached to the polygraph instrument and the acquaintance test is then conducted. When asked about the number or card they picked, the subject is instructed to answer “No” to all of the alternatives including the one he or she picked. After collecting the physiological data the subject is told that she or he responded strongly on the number they picked. Some research has shown that Acquaintance Tests can increase the accuracy of subsequent CQT examinations.<sup>5</sup>
- 2.7.6.5. **Data Collection:** After the Acquaintance Test all of the questions from the CQT will be reviewed with the subject for clarity. Data should then be collect from a minimum of three repetitions of the questions while the subject’s physiology is being recorded. Professional standards required that three repetitions be completed before giving a credibility opinion. If after the three minimum receptions the test is scored as inconclusive, then more data can be collected.
- 2.7.6.6. **Evaluation:** At the time of The Tapp Examinations the standard method of evaluation for forensic CQT examinations was numerical scoring. The American Association of Police Polygraphists requires numerical scoring with a validated technique as a minimum level of evaluation.<sup>6</sup>

---

<sup>4</sup> Additional detail about conducting a probable-lie CQT can be found Supra at Note 1.

<sup>5</sup> Supra Note 1, p. 15.

<sup>6</sup> American Association of Police Polygraphists, *AAPP Standards & Principles of Practice*, <http://www.policepolygraph.org/standards.htm> viewed July 1, 2015.

- 2.7.6.6.1. Numerical scoring is a standardized procedure that looks for, and in some cases measures, criterion features from the physiological waveforms. Scores are then assigned using a magnitude estimation procedure.
- 2.7.6.6.2. In 1997 there were three numerical scoring systems in common use, the U.S. Federal system, the Backster System and the University of Utah System.
- 2.7.6.6.3. Research has shown that numerical scoring can be highly reliable and valid. In 1997, strong empirical evidence existed in support of the Utah and the Federal systems.
- 2.7.6.6.4. A well trained polygraph examiner will need 10 to 20 minutes to do a numerical scoring of a set of polygraph charts from a forensic CQT depending upon the quality of the data.
- 2.7.6.6.5. It was well known in the polygraph profession in 1997 that failure to use numerical scoring resulted in a dramatic increase in false positive errors.

### 3. Background Concerning the Scientific Study of False Confessions

- 3.1. Beginning in the late 1980s the use of DNA to investigate claims of false conviction began to reveal a number of miscarriages of justice. In 1992 the Innocence Project was formed to use DNA evidence to document and support those who had been wrongly convicted. Since that time the Innocence Project reports that there have been 312 documented DNA exonerations of the wrongly convicted in the United States<sup>7</sup>. In the early 1990s, psychologists interested in the topic of psychology and the law began to take note of the causes of false conviction. The Innocence Project reports that the third most common cause of a false conviction is a false confession. About 25% of the DNA exoneration cases include a false confession or admission that contributed to the miscarriage of justice. The topics of interrogation, confession, and false confession have become a major area of study in the sub-discipline of Psychology known as Psychology and Law. The group of scholars, researchers, and attorneys who are interested in the intersection of psychology and the law is professionally organized as the American Psychology-Law Society (APLS) which is also Division 41 of the American Psychological Association (the largest association of psychologists in the world).
- 3.2. In 2010 the APLS published an entire issue of its official journal, *Law and Human Behavior*, on the topic of police interrogation and confession. The special issue opened with a Scientific Review Paper of the American Psychology-Law Society. This was only the second such paper to be authorized and approved by APLS in its 42 year history<sup>8</sup>. The purpose of the APLS Scientific Review Paper is described as follows: “(a) plan the foundation for science in translation briefs; (b) represent in an objective report the literature in an area ripe for litigation and/or legislation, and (c) educate others

<sup>7</sup> See the Innocence Project Website: <http://www.innocenceproject.org>, accessed 16 March 2014

<sup>8</sup> Thompson W. C., (2010). An American Psychology-Law Society scientific review paper on police interrogation and confession. *Law and Human Behavior*, 34, 1-2.



(psychologists, lawyers, legislators, and the public) about contributions that psychological knowledge can make to policy debates.”<sup>9</sup>

4. **My Involvement in This Case in 1997.** I was contacted by the Public Defender’s office in 1997 about the possibility of reviewing polygraph examinations of one of their clients, Chris Tapp. I agreed and was subsequently retained by the Public Defender’s office. I am unable to locate my files from this case, so the following is to the best of my recollection. I recall being told that Mr. Tapp had been previously polygraphed by the Idaho Falls Police Department. I reviewed VHS video tapes of one or more polygraphs of Mr. Tapp. I remember advising the Public Defender that the polygraph(s) I reviewed were of very poor quality and that Mr. Tapp should be retested with a valid technique. I was scheduled to conduct a polygraph examination on Mr. Tapp. I flew to the area and was met by an attorney with the Public Defender’s office. We went to a jail, set up the polygraph in a conference room, and were waiting for Mr. Tapp. After a considerable wait, we were informed that Mr. Tapp had acquired new counsel from Salt Lake City and that the new counsel did not want me to polygraph his client. I packed up my instrument and flew back to Boise. I did not follow this case in the intervening years.

#### 5. **The Tapp Polygraphs, My 2015 Review**

5.1. Although the polygraph can be used as a forensic test to assess credibility with high validity, sometimes police officers choose to use the illusion of a polygraph test as an evidence ploy. Such dissimulation is normally used when the police are *a priori* convinced that the subject is lying and that actually conducting a valid polygraph examination is a waste of time. Even a cursory review of the videos from The Tapp Polygraphs reveals that the conduct of the alleged polygraph tests was so sub-standard and so far from acceptable practice that the only reasonable conclusion would be that The Tapp Polygraphs were never intended to be used as an assessment of credibility. Some examples of grotesquely improper practice from the first Tapp Polygraph (1/10/1997) follow:

5.1.1. The **Introductory Phase** was haphazard, and unprofessional. There was a live phone in the examination room and the examiner took a call and had a conversation about an issue unrelated to the examination. The examiner forgot to either read Mr. Tapp his rights or to obtain his permission for the test until after placing the sensors and just before data collection. The officer was wearing his gun in plain sight. Often the gun was right in Mr. Tapp’s face. (It is considered unprofessional within the polygraph profession to have a gun in open carry.)

5.1.2. There was no **Free Narrative**.

5.1.3. The **Preparation of the Test Stimuli Phase** was haphazard and did not follow standard practice.

5.1.3.1. Mr. Tapp was told he was going to be asked “controlled lie questions” and that Mr. Tapp needed to get it all out in the open, so that he will react to those questions. The examiner then proceeded to review what were in form, probable-lie comparison questions, but the examiner elicited numerous admissions from Mr. Tapp on each. There were at least 10 admissions during

---

<sup>9</sup> Id., at page 1.

- the review of the first comparison question. **In my opinion, this was a bizarre techniques that was completely against the rationale and standard practice for the CQT regardless of the variant used.**
- 5.1.3.2. **In my opinion no effort was made my Mr. Finn (the examiner) to make the comparisons psychologically important to Mr. Tapp, nor was there any effort to equate them in importance with the relevant questions.**
- 5.1.3.3. **In my opinion nothing was done to associate lying to the comparison questions with failing or passing the examination.**
- 5.1.3.4. **In my opinion, this bizarre practices used by Mr. Finn in this critical part of the examination dramatically weakened these questions as actual comparison questions and in my opinion dramatically biased this examination against any actually innocent subject.**
- 5.1.3.5. **Furthermore it is my opinion that any trained polygraph examiner in 1997 should have known that the procedures used in this examination were bizarre and dangerous to the actually innocent.**
- 5.1.3.6. Mr. Finn's (the examiner) description of the psychophysiology and the connection between lying and responding was bizarre and grossly inaccurate. **In my opinion this portion of the examination was well below the professional standards.**
- 5.1.4. No **Acquaintance Test** was conducted. **In my opinion the lack of an acquaintance test would likely have reduced the validity of any subsequent CQT.**
- 5.1.5. The **Data Collection Phase** did not follow professional standards.
- 5.1.5.1. The respiration sensors were improperly positioned. According to standard practice one respiration sensor should be placed high on the chest so that is captures the activity of the intercostal muscles between the ribs. The second sensor should be placed on the abdomen below the rib cage so that it captures the activity of the diaphragm. Mr. Finn placed both sensors low on the rib cage so that neither sensor isolated the activity of the two muscles systems involved in respiration. **In my opinion the improper placement of the respiration sensors would have reduced the quality of the data collect during the examination.**
- 5.1.5.2. The electrodermal sensors were improperly position. The standard practice across the polygraph profession it to locate the electrodermal sensor on the hand opposite to the arm where the blood pressure cuff was located. Mr. Finn placed the electrodermal sensors on the hand of the arm with the blood pressure cuff. **In my opinion, the edema caused by the blood pressure cuff would necessarily change the baseline resistance of the skin and introduced an artifact component into the recording that degrading the usefulness of the electrodermal recording.**



- 5.1.5.3. Against standard practice, physiological data were collected for only one repetition of the questions. **In my opinion it is impossible to conduct a valid polygraph examination with only one chart.**
- 5.1.5.4. Against the instructions, Mr. Tapp answered the three supposed comparison questions with “Yes” answers. Against standard practice, this behaviors was not called to Mr. Tapp’s attention nor was any effort made to correct this violation of practice.
- 5.1.6. There was no formal **Evaluation** of the data.
  - 5.1.6.1. Immediately after the first data collection window Mr. Finn rendered an opinion that Mr. Tapp was deceptive to the relevant questions and began an interrogation. It is simply not possible to accurately evaluate polygraph data that quickly. Moreover, in this case there wasn’t even a pretense of attempting an evaluation. The same happens with the other alleged examinations that I viewed. The chart ends and an opinion is rendered immediately without even a pretense of scoring or formal evaluation.
  - 5.1.6.2. **In my opinion collecting data from only one repetition of the test questions and then rendering an opinion as to credibility is a gross violation of standard practice in the polygraph profession now and in 1997.**
  - 5.1.6.3. **Moreover, It is my opinion that the lack of data and formal scoring grossly biased these procedures against any actually innocent person.**
- 5.1.7. The examination took approximately 45 minutes, including the time Mr. Finn spent on the telephone. This is far less than the minimum time necessary to conduct a valid CQT polygraph examination. **In my opinion the minimal time devoted to this examination reflects a lack of interest in conducting a valid assessment of Mr. Tapp’s credibility.**
- 6. **The Tapp Polygraphs as a False Evidence Ploy.** The presentation of false evidence, that is, telling the suspect that evidence exists against him or her when it does not exist, is commonly found as a contributing factor in verified cases of false confession, including a number of confessions confirmed false by DNA. Moreover, experimental research has demonstrated that introduction of false evidence can cause the false confession rate to double. In my opinion the illusion of conducting polygraph tests was used in The Tapp Polygraphs purely as a an evidence ploy and that an actual evaluation Mr. Tapp’s credibility was never of interest to the Idaho Falls Police.

## 7. Conclusions and Summary Opinions

- 7.1. Chris Tapp was never given a legitimate polygraph examination for credibility assessment by the Idaho Falls Police Department.
- 7.2. The sham polygraphs that were conducted in The Tapp Polygraphs violated a long list of standard and ethical practices from the polygraph profession and from the scientific literature. This is true now, and it was also true in 1997.

- 7.3. In 1997, any polygraph examiner trained in a American Polygraph Association accredited school would have known that the methods used in The Tapp Polygraph were not legitimate as a methods of assessing credibility and that they violated basic standards and ethics within the polygraph profession.
- 7.4. In The Tapp Polygraphs, the use of sham polygraph testing appears to have been cynically created as an evidence ploy to further an interrogation of Chris Tapp.
- 7.5. Such a cynical evidence ploy would be likely occur under one (or both) of two situations:
  - 7.5.1. Before the sham examinations the police already held a firm fixed belief that Mr. Tapp was involved in, or knowledgeable of, the murder of Angie Dodge.
  - 7.5.2. The Idaho Falls Police engaged in a general practice of exposing all suspects/ witnesses to sham polygraph examinations in and effort to extract as much information form them as possible despite the psychological coercive and destructive nature of such a practice.
- 7.6. The sham polygraphs and the behavior of the polygraph examiner that I observed in The Tapp Polygraphs were psychologically coercive in the extreme and would have placed any actually innocent person under a highly increased risk of making a false confession.
- 7.7. If I had been consulted by counsel in 1997 and asked to give expert testimony, I would have substantially expressed the same opinions then, as I have in this report, albeit with less scientific data on the false confession aspects.

The opinions stated in this report are held to a reasonable degree of professional and scientific certainty.

Sincerely,



Charles Robert Honts, Ph. D.  
Professor of Psychology

Enclosure: (1) Curriculum Vitae of Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

**Academics**

Department of Psychology  
Boise State University  
1910 University Drive  
Boise, ID 83725-1715  
USA

**Email:**

Chonts@boisestate.edu  
HONTS@MAC.COM

**Voice:** 208.867.2027

**FAX:** 208.385.7754

**Business**

Honts, Handler, & Hartwig, LLC  
3105 Sweetwater Drive  
Boise, ID 83716-5664

**WWW:** <http://charleshontsphd.com>

**Prepared: July 2, 2015**

**Education:**

---

Ph.D., Psychology (Emphasis Human Experimental), University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah,  
Awarded, June 1986.

M.S., Psychology (Emphasis Human Experimental), Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State  
University, Blacksburg, VA. Awarded, June 1982.

B.S., Psychology, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA.  
Awarded, June 1974.

**Faculty Appointments:**

---

Professor of Psychology,	1995 to present
Department Head	2000 to 2003
Full Member of the Graduate Faculty	
Boise State University, Boise, Idaho.	

Associate Professor of Psychology, Full Member of the Graduate Faculty	1990 to 1995
Promoted from Assistant Professor in 1993 (3rd year)	
University of North Dakota, Grand Forks.	

Adjunct Assistant Professor, Psychology Department, University of Utah,	1987 to 1995
Salt Lake City.	

Adjunct Professor, College of Graduate Studies and Continuing Education	1989 to 1990
Jacksonville State University, Jacksonville, Alabama.	

**Professional Service and Awards:**

---

Recipient of The Harry Detwiler Award for contributions to the polygraph profession in Latin America through advances in practice and research. Asociación Latinoamericana de Poligrafistas, November 8, 2014, Cancún, Mexico.

Recipient of The John E. Reid Memorial Award for distinguished achievements in polygraph research, teaching or writing. American Polygraph Association, August 6, 2009, Nashville, Tennessee.

President-Elect, President, Immediate Past-President, and Member of the Executive Committee of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, 2004-2007.

Charter Member and Fellow (Elected 2006) of the Association for Psychological Science.

### **Professional Employment:**

Professor of Psychology, Department of Psychology, 1995 to present  
Boise State University, Boise, Idaho.

Associate Professor of Psychology, Psychology Department, 1993 to 1995  
University of North Dakota, Grand Forks.

Assistant Professor of Psychology, Psychology Department, 1990 to 1993  
University of North Dakota, Grand Forks.

Research Psychologist - Research Team Leader (GS-14) 1988 to 1990  
Department of Defense Polygraph Institute - Research Division  
Fort McClellan, Alabama.

Research Assistant (4 years) / Associate (2 years) 1982 to 1988  
Department of Psychology, University of Utah, Salt Lake City  
Projects: *A Study of the Validity of Polygraph Examinations in Criminal Investigations*. Funded by the National Institute of Justice  
*The Effects of Physical and Mental Countermeasures on the Physiological Detection of Deception*. Funded by the Department of Psychology, University of Utah.

Teaching Assistant, Department of Psychology 1981 to 1982  
Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA.

Detection of Deception Examiner, Various Employers. 1976 to 1980

### **Specialized Training:**

The Backster School of Lie Detection (Polygraph Examiner's Course) San Diego, California  
(September - October 1976).

Workshop on the Assessment of Truthfulness of Alleged Victims of Sexual Offenders Through Statement Reality Analysis. Professor Udo Undeutsch, Instructor. Salt Lake City, Utah (March, 1985).

Interdisciplinary Seminar on Interviewing and Assessing Credibility of Alleged Victims and Perpetrators in Sexual Abuse Cases. Scottsdale, Arizona (April, 1986).

Contracting Officer's Representative Course. Army Logistics Management College, Fort Lee, Virginia (June 1988).

Interview and Assessment Techniques in Child Sexual Abuse Cases. Seminar sponsored by University of Utah, Department of Psychology, and Division of Continuing Education. (May, 1991).

Post Conviction Sexual Offender Testing. Forty hour course conducted at the New England Polygraph Institute. Instruction provided by Raymond Nelson an American Polygraph Association Primary PCSOT Instructor. Course conducted June 28, 2010 through July 2, 2010, inclusive. Certificate dated, July 2, 2010.

### **Thesis And Dissertation:**

Honts, C. R. (1982). *The effects of simple physical countermeasures on the physiological detection of deception*. Unpublished master's thesis, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg.

Honts, C. R. (1986). Countermeasures and the physiological detection of deception: A psychophysiological analysis. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 47, 1761B. (Order No. DA8616081)

### **Professional Publications and Reports:**

#### **2015**

Handler, M., Honts, C. R., & Blalock, B., (2015). A Literature Review of Polygraph Countermeasures and the Comparison Question Technique. Manuscript accepted for publication in the *APA Magazine*.

Honts, C. R. (2015). *A new paradigm for the study of malintent*. Manuscript under revision.

Honts, C. R., Handler, M., Shaw, P., & Gougler, M. (2015). The vasomotor response in the comparison question test. *Polygraph*, 44, 62-78.

Honts, C. R., Kassin, S. M., Forrest, K., McBride, S., & Anderson, A. (2015). *Polygraph examiners perform poorly at discriminating true from false juvenile confessions*. Manuscript reviewed and under revision for publication.

Honts, C. R., & Reavy, R., (2015). The comparison question polygraph test: A contrast of methods and scoring. *Physiology and Behavior*, 143, 15-26. Published online 24 February 2015, doi:10.1016/j.physbeh.2015.02.028

Raskin, D. C., Honts, C. R., Nelson, R., & Handler, M. (2015). Monte carlo estimates of the validity of four relevant question polygraph examinations. *Polygraph*, 44, 1-27.

**2014**

- Goodson, W., Honts, C. R., Handler, M., Nelson, R., Hicks, M., & Westerman, D. (2014). Pre-test breathing instructions increase perceptions of respiratory countermeasures. *Polygraph*, 43, 114-122.
- Honts, C. R. (2014). Countermeasures and credibility assessment. In, Raskin, D. C., Honts, C. R., & Kircher, J. C. *Credibility assessment: Scientific research and applications: First Edition* (pp. 131-158). Oxford, UK: Academic Press. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-394433-7.00003-8>
- Honts, C. R., Handler, M. (2014). Scoring respiration when using directed lie comparison questions. *Polygraph*, 43, 71-78.
- Honts, C. R., Handler, M. (2014). Information gain of the relevant irrelevant technique. *Polygraph*, 43, 137-
- Honts, C. R. & Hartwig, M. (2014). Credibility assessment at portals. In, Raskin, D. C., Honts, C. R., & Kircher, J. C. *Credibility assessment: Scientific research and applications*:. (pp. 37-61 ). Oxford, UK: Academic Press. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-394433-7.00002-6>
- Raskin, D. C., Honts, C. R., & Kircher, J. C. (2014). *Credibility assessment: Scientific research and applications*. Oxford, UK: Academic Press. ISBN: 978-0-12-394433-7 (ebook version available online 17 December 2013).

**2013**

- Handler, M., Honts, C. R., & Nelson, R. (2013). Information gain of the directed lie screening test. *Polygraph*, 42, 192-202.
- Honts, C. R. (2013). Deception detection, in A. Jamieson and A.A. Moenssens (Eds.) *Wiley Encyclopedia of Forensic Science*, John Wiley: Chichester. <http://DOI:10.1002/9780470061589.fsa495.pub2>. Available online 17th June 2013.
- Honts, C. R., & Handler M. (2013). A case study of the validity of the Arther Examination Procedures in a criminal case with DNA confirmation. *Polygraph*, 42, 61-71.
- Honts, C. R., Kassin S. M. & Craig, R. (2013). 'I'd know a false confession if I saw one': A constructive replication with juveniles. *Psychology, Crime and Law*. (published online 15 November 2013). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/1068316X.2013.854792>

**2011**

- Honts, C. R., & Kircher, J. C. (2011). Research methods for psychophysiological deception detection. In Rosenfeld, B., & Penrod, S. D., (Eds.) *Research Methods in Forensic Psychology*, (pp. 105-121) Hoboken, NJ, USA: Wiley.



**2010**

Handler, M., Nelson, R., Krapohl, D., Honts, C. (2010). An EDA primer for polygraph examiners. *Polygraph*, 39, 68-108 .

Handler, M., Nelson, R., Krapohl, D., Honts, C. (2010). An EDA primer for polygraph examiners. *The Police Polygraph Digest*, January, 9-35. A revision and republication of the article originally published in *Polygraph*.

**2009**

Handler, M. D., Honts, C. R., Krapohl, D. J., Nelson, R., & Griffin, S. (2009). Integration of pre-employment polygraph screening into the police selection process. *Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology*, 24, 69-86. (Published online 20 May 2009.)

Honts, C. R., (2009). Deception detection technology, in, Jamieson, A., & Moenssens, A., (Eds.) *Wiley Encyclopedia of Forensic Science*. West Sussex, UK: Wiley.

Honts, C. R., Hartwig, M., Kleinman, S. M., & Meissner, C. A. (2009). *Credibility assessment at portals: Portals committee report*. Final report of the Portals Committee to the Defense Academy for Credibility Assessment / U. S. Defense Intelligence Agency.

Honts, C. R., & Reavy, R., (2009). *Effects of Comparison Question Type and Between Test Stimulation on the Validity of Comparison Question Test. Final Progress Report on Contract No. W911Nf-07-1-0670*, submitted to the Defense Academy of Credibility Assessment (DACA). Boise State University. Available: <http://www.dtic.mil/dtic/tr/fulltext/u2/a505303.pdf>

Honts, C. R., & Schweinle, W., (2009). Information gain of psychophysiological detection of deception in forensic and screening settings. *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback*, 34, 161-172. (Available online July 2009)

Webb, A. K., Honts, C. R., Kircher, J. C., Bernhardt, P. C., and Cook, A., E. (2009). Effectiveness of pupil diameter in probable-lie comparison question tests for deception. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*, 14, 279-292, (Available online June 2009).

**2008**

Handler, M. D., & Honts, C. R. (2008). You can run, but you can't hide: A critical look at the fight or flight response in psychophysiological detection of deception. *European Polygraph*, 2, 193-207.

Honts, C. R., (2008). *Credibility assessment at portals*. Report of the Credibility Assessment Research Summit Portal Committee, to the U. S. Department of Defense.

Honts, C. R. (2008). Polygraph and polygraph techniques. In B. L. Cutler (Editor), *Encyclopedia of psychology and law (Volume 2)*, (pp. 596-600). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., & Kircher, J. C. (2008). Scientific Status: The case for polygraph tests. In, D. L. Faigman, M. J. Saks, J. Sanders, and E. Cheng (Eds.) *Modern scientific evidence: The law and science of expert testimony (Volume 5): 2008-2009 Edition*. Thompson West: Eagan, Minnesota.

Voas, B., Johnson, M., Turrisi, R., Taylor, D., Honts, C. R., & Nelson, L. (2008). Bringing alcohol on campus to raise money: Impact on student drinking and drinking problems. *Addiction, 103*, 940-950.

**2007**

Handler, M. D., & Honts, C. R. (2007). Psychophysiological mechanisms in deception detection: A theoretical overview. *Polygraph 36*, 221-232.

Honts, C. R. & Alloway, W. (2007). Information does not affect the validity of a comparison question test. *Legal And Criminological Psychology, 12*, 311-312. (Available online in 2006)

Honts, C. R. & Amato, S. (2007). Automation of a screening polygraph test increases accuracy. *Psychology, Crime & Law, 13*, 187-199.

**2006**

Honts, C. R. (2006). Recent theoretical and applied findings for autonomic psychophysiological deception detection. *International Journal Of Psychophysiology 61*, 304-305. (Abstract)

**2005**

Honts, C. R. (2005). Rocky mountain psychological association: Report of the 75th annual meeting. *American Psychologist, 60*, 1022-1024.

Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., & Kircher, J. C. (2005). Scientific Status: The case for polygraph tests. In, D. L. Faigman, D. Kaye, M. J. Saks, & J. Sanders (Eds.) *Modern scientific evidence: The law and science of expert testimony (Volume 4): Forensics 2005-2006 Edition*. Thompson West: Eagan, Minnesota, (571-605).

**2004**

Honts, C. R. (2004). The psychophysiological detection of deception, in P. Granhag and L. Strömwall (Eds.) *Detection of deception in forensic contexts*. London: Cambridge University Press 103-123.

Honts, C. R., Amato, S., & Gordon, A. (2004). Effects of outside issues on the Control Question Test. *The Journal of General Psychology, 151*, 53-74.

Thurber, S., Bonyng, E., & Honts, C. R. (2004). Barron's revised ego-strength scale as a measure of test taking style: Relationships with the validity scales of the MMPI-2. *Counseling and Clinical Psychology Journal, 1*, 119-124.

**2003**

Honts, C. R. (2003). Participant perceptions support the rationale of the comparison questions test for the psychophysiological detection of deception. *Psychophysiology, 40*, S48. (Abstract)

**2002**

Honts, C. R., & Amato, S. (2002). Countermeasures, in M. Kleiner (Ed.), *Handbook of polygraph testing*. London: Academic (251-264).

Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., & Kircher, J. C. (2002). The scientific status of research on polygraph techniques: The case for polygraph tests. In, D. L. Faigman, D. Kaye, M. J. Saks, & J. Sanders (Eds.) *Modern scientific evidence: The law and science of expert testimony (Volume 2)*. West: St. Paul Minnesota, (446-483).

Otter-Henderson, K., Honts, C. R., & Amato, S. L. (2002). Spontaneous countermeasures during polygraph examinations: An apparent exercise in futility. *Polygraph*, 31, 9-14.

Raskin, D. C., & Honts, C. R. (2002). The comparison question test. In M. Kleiner (Ed.), *Handbook of polygraph testing*. London: Academic (1-49).

Thurber, S., Snow, M., & Honts, C. R. (2002). The Zung Self-Rating Depression Scale: Convergent validity and diagnostic discrimination. *Assessment*, 9, 401-405.

**2001**

Honts, C. R., & Amato, S. (2001). Psychophysiological credibility assessment. *Journal of Forensic Psychology Practice*, 1, 87-99.

Honts, C. R., Amato, S. & Gordon, A. K. (2001). Effects of spontaneous countermeasures used against the comparison question test. *Polygraph*, 30, 1-9.

**2000**

Honts, C. R. (2000). A brief note on the misleading and the inaccurate: A rejoinder to Matte (2000) with critical comments on Matte and Reuss (1999). *Polygraph*, 29, 321-325.

Honts, C. R., (2000). Comments on *State v. Shively*, 999 Pacific 2d, 952 (2000). Invited commentary. *The Forensic Panel Letter*, November, Available: [www.forensicpanel.com](http://www.forensicpanel.com)

Honts, C. R., (2000). Comments on *State of Hawaii v. William K. Naone*, 92 Haw. 289. Invited commentary. *The Forensic Panel Letter Online*, May, Available: <http://www.forensicpanel.com>

Honts, C. R., Amato, S., & Gordon, A. (2000). *Validity of outside-issue questions in the control question test: Final report on grant no. N00014-98-1-0725*. Submitted to the Office of Naval Research and the Department of Defense Polygraph Institute. Applied Cognition Research Institute, Boise State University. DTIC# ADA376666.

Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., Amato, S. L., Gordon, A., & Devitt, M. K. (2000). The hybrid directed lie test, the overemphasized comparison question, chimeras and other inventions: A rejoinder to Abrams (1999). *Polygraph*, 29, 156-168.

Raskin, D. C., Honts, C. R., Amato, S., & Kircher, J. C. (2000). The scientific status of research on polygraph techniques: The case for the admissibility of the results of polygraph examinations: In D. L. Faigman, D. Kaye, M. J. Saks, & J. Sanders (Eds.) *Modern scientific evidence: The law and science of expert testimony: Volume 1 2000 Pocket Part* (201-217).

**1999**

Bell, B. G., Raskin, D. C., Honts, C. R., & Kircher, J. C. (1999). The Utah numerical scoring system. *Polygraph*, 28, 1-9.

Honts, C. R. (1999). The discussion of comparison questions between list repetitions (charts) is associated with increased test accuracy. *Polygraph*, 28, 117-123.

Honts, C. R. (1999). Flaws detected in polygraph study. *The Forensic Panel Letter*, 3(11), 1,5.

Honts, C. R., & Amato, S. L. (1999). *The automated polygraph examination: Final report*. Final report of U. S. Government Contract No. 110224-1998-MO. Applied Cognition Research Institute, Boise State University.

Raskin, D. C., Honts, C. R., Amato, S., & Kircher, J. C. (1999). The scientific status of research on polygraph techniques: The case for the admissibility of the results of polygraph examinations: 1999 Pocket Part to Vol. 1 of D. L. Faigman, D. Kaye, M. J. Saks, & J. Sanders (Eds.) *Modern scientific evidence: The law and science of expert testimony*. (pp. 160-174).

Tye, M. C., Amato, S. L., Honts, C. R., Devitt, M. K., & Peters, D. P. (1999). The willingness of children to lie and the assessment of credibility in an ecologically relevant laboratory setting. *Applied Developmental Science*, 3, 92-109. doi: 10.1207/s1532480xads0302\_4

**1998**

Honts, C. R., & Gordon, A. (1998). A critical analysis of Matte's analysis of the directed lie. *Polygraph*, 27, 241-252.

Honts, C.R. (1998). Louder and longer: A review of the second edition of Lykken's *A Tremor in the Blood*. *Polygraph*, 27, 302-304.

Honts, C. R. (1998). Criterion development and validity of the control question test in field application. *Polygraph*, 27, 219-230. Reprinted from: *The Journal of General Psychology*, 123, 309-324 (1996).

**1997**

Devitt, M. K., Honts, C. R., & Vondergeest, L. (1997). Truth or just bias: The presentation of polygraph testing in introductory psychology text books. *The Journal of Credibility Assessment and Witness Psychology*, 1, 9-32.

Honts, C. R. (1997). Truth or bias: Psychology and the polygraph. *The National Psychologist*, 6, 15.

Honts, C. R., & Peterson, C. F. (1997). *Brief of the Committee of Concerned Social Scientists as Amicus Curiae. United States v. Scheffer*, in the Supreme Court of the United States. Available from the author.

Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., & Kircher, J. C. (1997). A rejoinder to Iacono and Lykken. Chapter in, D. L. Faigman, D. Kaye, M. J. Saks, & J. Sanders (Eds.) *Modern scientific evidence: The law and science of expert testimony* (pp. 629-631).

Horowitz, S. W., Kircher, J. C., Honts, C. R., & Raskin, D. C. (1997). The role of comparison questions in physiological detection of deception. *Psychophysiology*, 34, 108-115.

Raskin, D. C., Honts, C. R., & Kircher, J. C. (1997). The scientific status of research on polygraph techniques: The case for polygraph tests. Chapter in, D. L. Faigman, D. Kaye, M. J. Saks, & J. Sanders (Eds.) *Modern scientific evidence: The law and science of expert testimony* (pp. 565-582).

Raskin, D. C., Honts, C. R., & Kircher, J. C. (1997). A response to professors Iacono and Lykken Chapter in, D. L. Faigman, D. Kaye, M. J. Saks, & J. Sanders (Eds.) *Modern scientific evidence: The law and science of expert testimony* (pp. 619-627).

### **1996**

Amato-Henderson, S. L., Honts, C. R., & Plaud, J. J. (1996). Effects of misinformation on the Concealed Knowledge Test. *Psychophysiology*, 33, S18. [Abstract]

Honts, C. R. (1996). Criterion development and validity of the control question test in field application. *The Journal of General Psychology*, 123, 309-324.

Honts, C. R., Devitt, M. K., Winbush, M., & Kircher, J. C. (1996). Mental and physical countermeasures reduce the accuracy of the concealed knowledge test. *Psychophysiology*, 33, 84-92.

### **1995**

Amato Henderson, S. L., & Honts, C. R. (1995). Horizontal gaze nystagmus test: The state of the science in 1995. *North Dakota Law Review*, 71, 671-700.

Honts, C. R., & Kircher, J. C. (1995). Legends of the concealed knowledge test: Lykken's distributional scoring system fails to detect countermeasures. *Psychophysiology*, 32, S41. (Abstract)

Honts, C. R., Kircher, J. C., & Raskin, D. C. (1995). Polygrapher's dilemma or psychologist's chimaera: A reply to Furedy's logico-ethical considerations for psychophysiological practitioners and researchers. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, 20, 199-207.

Honts, C. R. & Quick, B. D., (1995). The polygraph in 1995: Progress in science and the law. *North Dakota Law Review*, 71, 987-1020.

Kircher, J. C., Raskin, D. C., Honts, C. R., & Horowitz, S. W. (1995). Lens model analysis of decision making by field polygraph examiners. *Psychophysiology*, 32, S45. (Abstract)

### **1994**

Amato, S. L., & Honts, C. R. (1994). What do psychophysiologicalists think about polygraph tests? A survey of the membership of SPR. *Psychophysiology*, 31, S22. (Abstract).

Burlingame, G., Kircher, J. C., & Honts, C. R. (1994). Analysis of variance versus bootstrap procedures for analyzing dependent observations in small group research. *Journal of Small Group Research*, 25, 486-501.

- Honts, C. R. (1994). The psychophysiological detection of deception. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 3, 77-82.
- Honts, C. R. (1994). Assessing children's credibility: Scientific and legal issues in 1994. *North Dakota Law Review*, 70, 879-903.
- Honts, C. R. (1994). Field validity study of the Canadian Police College polygraph technique. *Psychophysiology*, 31, S57. (Abstract)
- Honts, C. R. (1994). Research Report: Termination of Data Collection and Statistical Analyses. Interim report on Science and Services Canada Contract No. M9010-1-F107/01ST, Field validity study of Canadian police college polygraph technique. Grand Forks, North Dakota: C. Honts, Consultations.
- Honts, C. R. (1994). *Final Report: Field Validity Study of the Canadian Police College Polygraph Technique*. Science Branch: Supply and Services Canada Contract No. M9010-3-2219/01ST. Grand Forks, North Dakota: C. Honts, Consultations.
- Honts, C. R. (1994). Field Validity Study of the Canadian Police College Polygraph Technique. TR-07-94. Ottawa, Canada: Canadian Police Research Centre.
- Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., & Kircher, J. C. (1994). Mental and physical countermeasures reduce the accuracy of polygraph tests. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 79, 252-259.
- Honts, C. R., Winbush, M., & Devitt, M. K. (1994). Physical and mental countermeasures can be used to defeat guilty knowledge tests. *Psychophysiology*, 31, S57. (Abstract)
- Kircher, J. C., Raskin, D. C., Honts, C. R., & Horowitz, S. W. (1994). Generalizability of statistical classifiers for the detection of deception. *Psychophysiology*, 31, S11. (Abstract)

**1993**

- Honts, C. R. (1993). Heat without light: A review of *Theories And Applications In The Detection Of Deception*. *Psychophysiology*, 30, 317-319.
- Honts, C. R., & Devitt, M. D. (1993). Credibility assessment of verbatim statements (CAVS): Final Report of Office of Naval Research Grant No. N0014-92-J-4006. Psychology Department, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks.
- Vondergeest, L., Honts, C. R., & Devitt, M. K. (1993). Effects of juror and expert witness gender on jurors' perceptions of an expert witness. *Modern Psychological Studies*, 1, 1-6.

**1992**

- Honts, C. R., (1992). Counterintelligence scope polygraph (CSP) test found to be a poor discriminator. *Forensic Reports*, 5, 215-218.
- Honts, C. R., (1992). A laboratory study of the reliability and validity of statement validity assessment: Final report of Faculty Research Committee Grant #1813-2001-2138. University of North Dakota, Grand Forks.



- Honts, C. R., (1992). Field validity study of Canadian police college polygraph technique: Interim report on contract No. M9010-1-F107/01ST. Science and Services Canada Grand Forks, North Dakota: C. Honts, Consultations.
- Honts, C. R., & Devitt, M. K. (1992). Bootstrap decision making for polygraph examinations: Final report of DOD/PERSEREC Grant No. N00014-92-J-1794. University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, DTIC# ADA304662. Available: <http://www.dtic.mil/dtic/tr/fulltext/u2/a255854.pdf>
- Honts, C. R., & Devitt, M. K. (1992). *Bootstrap decision making for polygraph examinations: Report No. DODPI92-R-0002*. Department of Defense Polygraph Institute, Fort McClellan, Alabama.
- Honts, C. R., & Perry, M. V. (1992). Polygraph admissibility: Changes and challenges. *Law and Human Behavior*, 16, 357-379.
- Honts, C. R., Devitt, M. K., & Amato, S L. (1992). Neural network classifiers and the detection of deception revisited: Depth of learning and overfitting. *Psychophysiology*, 29, S38. (Abstract)
- Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., & Kircher, J. C. (1992). Effectiveness of control questions answered "Yes": Dispelling a polygraph myth. *Forensic Reports*, 5, 265-272.

**1991**

- Honts, C. R., (1991). The emperor's new clothes: Application of polygraph tests in the American workplace. *Forensic Reports*, 4, 91-116.
- Honts, C. R. (1991). Converging evidence indicates invalidity for national security screening polygraph tests. *Psychophysiology*, 28, S30. (Abstract)
- Honts, C. R. (1991). Field validity study of Canadian police college polygraph technique: Task 1 report on contract No. M9010-1-F107/01ST, Science and Services Canada. Grand Forks, North Dakota: C. Honts, Consultations.
- Honts, C. R., & Devitt, M. K. (1991). Jackknife analyses of discriminant, logistic regression and back propagation neural network classifiers in a psychophysiological detection of deception problem. *Psychophysiology*, 28, S30. (Abstract)

**1990**

- Barland, G. H., & Honts, C. R. (1990). *A laboratory study of the validity of the ZCT: An executive summary*. Department of Defense Polygraph Institute Research Report. Fort McClellan, Alabama. DTIC #: ADA339267.
- Barland, G. H., Honts, C. R., & Barger, S. D. (1990). *The detection of deception for multiple issues: An executive summary*. Department of Defense Polygraph Institute Research Report. Fort McClellan, Alabama. DTIC #: ADA339187, available <http://www.dtic.mil/dtic/tr/fulltext/u2/a339187.pdf>
- Barland, G. H., Honts, C. R., & Barger, S. D. (1990). The relative utility of skin resistance and skin conductance. Department of Defense Polygraph Institute Research Report, No. DoDPI90-R-0005, DTIC #: ADA339184.

- Honts, C. R., & Barger, S. D. (1990). A comparison of the relative utility of skin conductance and skin resistance couplers for the measurement of electrodermal activity in the detection of deception. *Polygraph*, 19, 199-207.
- Honts, C. R., & Barland, G. H. (1990). *A laboratory study of the validity of the MGQT: An executive summary*. Department of Defense Polygraph Institute Research Report. Fort McClellan, Alabama.
- Honts, C. R., & Carlton, B. (1990). The effects of incentives on the detection of deception. *Psychophysiology*, 27, S39. (Abstract)
- Honts, C. R., & Carlton, B. (1990) *The effects of incentives on the detection of deception: Report No. DoDPI90-R-0003*. Department of Defense Polygraph Institute, Fort McClellan, AL 36205, DTIC# ADA305810. Available: <http://www.dtic.mil/dtic/tr/fulltext/u2/a305810.pdf>

**1989**

- Barland, G. H., Honts, C. R., & Barger, S. D. (1989). The validity of detection of deception for multiple issues. *Psychophysiology*, 26, S13. (Abstract)
- Barland, G. H., Honts, C. R., & Barger, S. D. (1989). *Studies of the Accuracy of Security Screening Polygraph Examinations*. Department of Defense Polygraph Institute, Fort McClellan, Alabama. DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.1.1700.8803
- Honts, C. R., Barland G. H., & Barger, S. W. (1989). The relative validity of criminal and screening approaches to detection of deception. *Psychophysiology*, 26, S33. (Abstract)
- Honts, C. R., & Driscoll, L. N. (1989). Validity of the positive control polygraph test: Comments on Forman and McCauley. *Polygraph*, 18, 158-167.
- Honts, C. R., & Barger, S. D. (1989). A comparison of the relative utility of skin conductance and skin resistance couplers for the measurement of electrodermal activity in the detection of deception. Department of Defense Polygraph Institute Research Report. Fort McClellan, Alabama.
- Honts, C. R. (1989). *The relative validity of two CSP question series*. Department of Defense Polygraph Institute Research Report. Fort McClellan, Alabama.
- Raskin, D. C., Kircher, J. C., Horowitz, S. W., & Honts, C. R. (1989). Recent laboratory and field research on polygraph techniques. In J. C. Yuille (Ed.), *Credibility Assessment*. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer, 1-24.

**1988**

- Honts, C. R., & Driscoll, L. D. (1988). A field validation study of the rank order scoring system (ROSS) in multiple issue control question tests. *Polygraph*, 17, 1-16.
- Honts, C. R., & Raskin, D. C. (1988). A field study of the validity of the directed lie control question. *Journal of Police Science and Administration*, 16, 56-61.

- Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., Kircher, J. C., & Hodes, R. L. (1988). Effects of spontaneous countermeasures on the physiological detection of deception. *Journal of Police Science and Administration*, 16, 91-94.
- Honts, C. R., Kircher, J. C., & Raskin, D. C. (1988). Patterns of activation and deception. *Psychophysiology*, 25, 455. (Abstract)
- Horowitz, S. W., Raskin, D. C., Honts, C. R., & Kircher, J. C. (1988). Control questions in physiological detection of deception. *Psychophysiology*, 25, 455. (Abstract).
- Kircher, J. C., Raskin, D. C., Honts, C. R., & Horowitz, S. W. (1988). Generalizability of mock crime laboratory studies of the control question polygraph technique. *Psychophysiology*, 25, 462-463. (Abstract)
- Raskin, D. C., Kircher, J. C., Honts, C. R., & Horowitz, S. W. (1988). Validity of control question polygraph tests in criminal investigations. *Psychophysiology*, 25, 476. (Abstract)
- Raskin, D. C., Kircher, J. C., Honts, C. R., & Horowitz, S. W. (1988). *A Study of the Validity of Polygraph Examinations in Criminal Investigations*. Final Report to the National Institute of Justice, Grant Number 85-IJ-CX-0400, Department of Psychology, Salt Lake City, University of Utah.

**1987**

- Driscoll, L. N., Honts, C. R., & Jones D. (1987). The validity of the positive control physiological detection of deception technique. *Journal of Police Science and Administration*, 15, 46-50.
- Honts, C. R. (1987). Interpreting research on countermeasures and the physiological detection of deception. *Journal of Police Science and Administration*, 15, 204-209.
- Honts, C. R. & Driscoll, L. N. (1987). An evaluation of the reliability and validity of rank order and standard numerical scoring of polygraph charts. *Polygraph*, 16 241-257.
- Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., & Kircher, J. C. (1987). Effects of physical countermeasures and their electromyographic detection during polygraph tests for deception. *Journal of Psychophysiology*, 1, 241-247.

**1986**

- Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., & Kircher, J. C. (1986). Individual differences and the physiological detection of deception. *Psychophysiology*, 23, 442 (Abstract).

**1985**

- Honts, C. R., Hodes, R. L., & Raskin, D. C. (1985). Effects of physical countermeasures on the physiological detection of deception. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 70, 177-187.
- Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., & Kircher, J. C. (1985). Effects of socialization on the physiological detection of deception. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 19, 373-385.

Raskin, D. C., Kircher, J. C., & Honts, C. R. (1985). Computerized polygraph interpretations and detection of physical countermeasures. In *Anti-terrorism; Forensic science; Psychology in police investigations*. Jerusalem: Heiliger, 179-189.

**1984**

Honts, C. R. (1984). Countermeasures and the physiological detection of deception. *Psychophysiology*, 21, 566-567 (Abstract).

Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., Kircher, J. C., & Hodes, R. L. (1984). Effects of spontaneous countermeasures on the physiological detection of deception. *Psychophysiology*, 21, 583 (Abstract).

Kircher, J. C., Raskin, D. C., & Honts, C. R. (1984). Electrodermal habituation in the detection of deception. *Psychophysiology*, 21, 585 (Abstract).

**1983**

Honts, C. R. & Hodes, R. L. (1983). The detection of physical countermeasures. *Polygraph*, 12, 7-17.

Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., & Kircher, J. C. (1983). Detection of deception: Effectiveness of physical countermeasures under high motivation conditions. *Psychophysiology*, 20, 446-447 (Abstract).

**1982**

Honts, C. R. & Hodes, R. L. (1982). The effect of simple physical countermeasures on the detection of deception. *Psychophysiology*, 19, 564 (Abstract).

Honts, C. R. & Hodes, R. L. (1982). The effects of multiple physical countermeasures on the detection of deception. *Psychophysiology*, 19, 564-565 (Abstract).

**Grants and Research Contracts:**

---

**Principal/Co-Principal Investigator:**

*Effects of Comparison Question Type and Between Test Stimulation on the Validity of the Comparison Question Test.* Research grant originated with the Defense Academy for Credibility Assessment and issued through the Army Research Office (Awarded, 28 September 2007, closed 20 September 2009). Grant amount, \$299,537.

*Credibility Assessment Research Initiative.* Three years of funding as a directed appropriation to Boise State University under Research and Development Defense-Wide (RDDW), Line #171. Appropriation amount, \$3,700,000. Directed appropriations approved in 2005, 2005, 2007. The Department of Defense did not awarded as per the language of the appropriation.

*Campuses selling alcohol: Profit or problem?* Subcontract from the Pacific Institute for Research and Evaluation (PIRE) for Year 5 of National Institute of Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA) Grant #5 R37 AA012972-03, CFDA # 93.273. Subcontract amount, \$107,828.

*Juvenile Confessions: Stimulus Materials Collection.* Dean's Research Award. College of Social Sciences and Public Affairs, Boise State University, October 2004. Award amount, \$600.

*Campuses selling alcohol: Profit or problem?* Subcontract from the Pacific Institute for Research and Evaluation (PIRE) for Year 4 of National Institute of Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA) Grant #5 R37 AA012972-03, CFDA # 93.273. Subcontract amount, \$149,895.

*A Cautionary note for the teaching of psychology and law: Media images may be more persuasive than data.* Faculty Teaching Award (Travel), Boise State University, March, 2004. Award Amount, \$500.00.

Rocky Mountain Psychological Association Executive Committee. Dean's Service Award (Travel), College of Social Sciences and Public Affairs, Boise State University, March, 2004. Award Amount, \$200.00.

*Scientific Attitudes Regarding the Science and Validity of Polygraph Testing.* Faculty Research Award (Travel), Boise State University, September 2001. Award amount \$500.00.

*Truth or just bias: The strange mix of psychology, law and the detection of deception.* Faculty Research Award (Travel), Boise State University, April 2001. Award amount \$500.00.

*Outside issues dramatically reduce the accuracy of polygraph tests given to innocent individuals.* Faculty Research Award (Travel) Boise State University, September 1999. Award amount \$400.00.

*Human v. machine: Research examining the automation of polygraph testing.* Faculty Research Award (Travel), Boise State University, March 1999. Award amount \$400.00.

*Survey of Professional Knowledge and Opinions Regarding Polygraph Testing.* Co-principal investigator Susan Amato. Faculty Research Award, Boise State University, November 1998. Award amount \$500.00.

- Survey of Professional Knowledge and Opinions Regarding Polygraph Testing.* Co-principal investigator Susan Amato. Undergraduate Research Initiative Award, Boise State University, November 1998. Award amount \$500.00.
- The Polygraph after Daubert.* Faculty Research Award (Travel), Boise State University, March 1998. Award amount \$400.00.
- Validity of Outside-Issue Questions in the Control Question Test (DoDPI97-P-0012).* Co-principal investigator, Susan Amato. Research grant originated with the Department of Defense Polygraph Institute, issued through the Office of Naval Research, 9 March 1998. Award amount \$129,042.50.
- The Automated Polygraph Examination (APE).* Co-principal investigator, Susan Amato. Research Contract with the United States Federal Government. Start date: 11 December 97. Contract Amount, \$132,000.00.
- Theory Development and Psychophysiological Credibility Assessment: An Application of Structural Equation Modeling to Increase Basic Understanding of a Technique Already in Use in the Field. Research Associate award from Boise State University. Awarded 1 June 1996. Award amount, \$3,900.00.
- Assessing the Credibility of the Child Witness.* Travel award from the Faculty Research Committee of the University of North Dakota to support paper presentations at the Society for Research in Child Development meetings. Awarded, 13 February 1995, Award amount \$383.00.
- Research on the Creation of False Memory and the Misinformation Effect.* Grant No. 4394-0403 from ND EPSCoR, the National Science Foundation's Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research, April 1994. Grant Amount, \$1500.
- Quantitative Techniques in the Psychophysiological Detection of Deception.* Summer 1993 Research Professorship awarded by the Graduate School of the University of North Dakota, February 1993. Stipend amount, \$5,400.
- Credibility Assessment of Verbatim Statements (CAVS).* Grant No. N00014-92-J-4006 from PERSEREC through the Office of Naval Research. Awarded 1 September 1992. Grant Amount \$19,959.
- Bootstrap Computer Decision Making for Polygraph Examinations.* Grant No. N00014-92-J-1794 from PERSEREC through the Office of Naval Research. Awarded 1 April 1992. Grant amount: \$19,994.
- A Laboratory Study of the Validity of the Reliability and Validity of Statement Validity Assessment.* Research award from the Faculty Research Committee of the University of North Dakota. Awarded 28 October 1991. Award amount \$500.00.
- The Hired Gun Cross Examination Tactic Reduced Mock Jurors' Perception of Expert Witness' Credibility.* Travel award from the Faculty Research Committee of the University of North Dakota to support paper presentation. Awarded 28 October 1991, Award amount \$398.00



*Field Validity Study of Canadian Police College Polygraph Technique, Contract No. M9010-1-F107/01-ST.* Science Branch, Supply and Services Canada. Awarded 18 September 1991. Contract Amount, Phase I, \$25,400.

*Psychophysiology Laboratory Start-Up Award.* Award No. 2015-1806-2001. Office of Research and Program Development and the College of Arts and Sciences, University of North Dakota. Awarded August 1990. Award amount \$12,000.

**Research Associate:**

*A Study of the Validity of Polygraph Examinations in Criminal Investigations.* Grant Number 85-IJ-CX-0400, National Institute of Justice. David C. Raskin, Principal Investigator. Grant amount \$60,000

**Scientific Paper Presentations, Scientific and Professional Invited Addresses and Lectures**

**2015**

Honts, C. R. (2015, March). *Credibility assessment and false confessions: The latest from psychological science*. Invited lecture given at The American Inns of Court CXXX, Boise, Idaho. <http://home.innsforcourt.org>

Honts, C. R. (2015, April). *Psychological science and actual innocence: Roles, responsibilities and policy making*. Convention Kickoff Plenary and Past-President's Address given at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Boise, Idaho.

Honts, C. R. (2015, May). *The vasomotor response: New research*. Invited lecture given at the annual meeting of New Jersey Polygraphists, Inc., Atlantic City, New Jersey.

Honts, C. R. (2015, May). *The computer analysis of polygraph data*. Invited lecture given at the annual meeting of New Jersey Polygraphists, Inc., Atlantic City, New Jersey.

Honts, C. R. (2015, May). *Base rates and information gain*. Invited lecture given at the annual meeting of New Jersey Polygraphists, Inc., Atlantic City, New Jersey.

Honts, C. R. (2015, May). *Interrogations, interviews, false confessions and actual innocence: New approaches from psychological science*. Invited address given at the annual meeting of New Jersey Polygraphists, Inc., Atlantic City, New Jersey.

**2014**

Bertulis, K. M., Yasuhara, K., & Honts, C. (2014, September) *False confession identification accuracy in groups of college students*. Poster presented at the annual Summer Undergraduate Research Fellowship Research Day, West Haven, CT.

Duren, G., Connor, J., Skogsberg, K., & Honts, C. R. (2014, May). *Concussions and college students: What do they know?* Paper presented at the 2014 annual meeting of the Midwestern Psychological Association in Chicago, Illinois.

Honts, C. R. (2014, May). *Computer analysis of polygraph data*. Invited lecture given at the American Association of Police Polygraphists, Annual Seminar, Las Vegas, Nevada.

Honts, C. R. (2014, May). *Base rates and information gain*. Invited lecture given at the American Association of Police Polygraphists, Annual Seminar, Las Vegas, Nevada.

Honts, C. R. (2014, May). *Tactical polygraph: The Wizard's 1st rule lives!*. Invited lecture given at the American Association of Police Polygraphists, Annual Seminar, Las Vegas, Nevada.

Honts, C. R. (2014, November). *Interviews, interrogations, and confessions: Scientifically valid and invalid approaches (Entrevistas, Interrogaciones y Confesión: Aproximaciones Científicamente Validadas e Inválidas)*. Invited address given at the annual meeting of the Asociación Latinoamericana de Poligrafistas, Cancún, Mexico.

Honts, C. R. (2014, November). *Polygraph: The good, the bad, and the ugly*. Invited colloquium, Department of Psychology, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks.

Honts, C. R. & Goodson, A. (2014, March). *Public knowledge of and support for compensating the wrongfully convicted*. Paper presented at the 2014 annual meeting of the American Psychology Law Society meeting in New Orleans, Louisiana.

Honts, C. R., & Hartwig, M. (2014, November). *Credibility assessment at portals (Evaluación De Credibilidad En Portales)*. Invited address to be given at the annual meeting of the Asociación Latinoamericana de Poligrafistas, Cancún, Mexico.

Woody, W. D., Honts, C. R., Forrest, K. D., Provenza, K. R., Best, R. B., Williams, B. J., Woods, L., & Grogan, C. (2014, April). *Jurors' perceptions and decisions related to polygraph examinations during police interrogation*. Paper presented at the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Salt Lake City, Utah.

### **2013**

Honts, C. R. (2013, March). *Current FBI polygraph/interrogation practices put the actually innocent at risk of false confession*. Paper presented at the 2013 annual meeting of the American Psychology-Law Society, Portland, Oregon.

Honts, C. R. (2013, May). *Deception and deception detection*. Invited address at the Osher Lifelong Learning Institute. Boise ID.

Honts, C. R. (2013, May). *Liars liars everywhere, and an apathetic psychology does not seem to care*. Invited address given as the National Psi Chi Distinguished Speaker at the 25th Association for Psychological Science Annual Convention in Washington, D.C.

### **2012**

Honts, C. R. (2012, February). *Interrogation, false confessions & actual innocence*, invited address at the College of Social Sciences and Public Affairs Speaker Series, Boise State University, Boise, Idaho.

Honts, C. R. (2012, July). *The Utah approach to polygraph testing: A scientifically validated approach*. Invited address given at the XII Seminario Internacional de Entrenamiento Avanzado en Poligrafia, Mexico City, Mexico.

Honts, C. R. (2012, July). *Interviews, interrogations and confession: Scientifically valid and invalid approaches*. Invited address given at the XII Seminario Internacional de Entrenamiento Avanzado en Poligrafia, Mexico City, Mexico.

Honts, C. R., Craig, R. A., & Kassin, S. (March, 2012). *Medium of presentation and the assessment of juvenile false confessions*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Psychology-Law Society, San Juan, Puerto Rico.

Marchak, F. M., Keil, T. L., McBride, S., & Honts, C. R. (March, 2012). *Ocular and physiological assessment of eyewitness identification*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Psychology - Law Society, San Juan, Puerto Rico.

**2011**

Honts, C. R. (2011, August). *Interrogations, false confessions, and the polygraph: Issues and concerns from psychological science*. Invited address at the annual meeting of the Canadian Association of Police Polygraphists, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.

Honts, C. R. (2011, November). *Actual innocence and the paradox of false confessions*. Invited address at the Osher Lifelong Learning Institute. Boise ID.

Honts, C. R., & Handler, M. (2011, April). *Interrogations, false confessions and the polygraph: Issues and concerns from psychological science*. Invited workshop (half day) at the American Association of Police Polygraphists annual meeting, Boston, MA.

**2010**

Honts, C. R. (2010, January). *Interrogations, Confessions, Psychology and Law*. Invited address at the Federal Defenders' 3<sup>rd</sup> Thursday meeting, Boise, Idaho.

Honts, C. R. (2010, August). *The Utah Approach to the Comparison Question Test*. Invited address at the annual meeting of the Canadian Association of Police Polygraphists, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.

Honts, C. R. (2010, August). *Countermeasures in 2010: What We Know and What We Don't Know*. Invited address at the annual meeting of the Canadian Association of Police Polygraphists, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.

Honts, C. R. (2010, October). *Countermeasures; What We Know and What We Don't Know*. Invited address at the World Congress of Forensic Sciences and Polygraph (Congreso Mundial de Ciencias Forenses y Poligrafia) Cartagena, Columbia.

Honts, C. R. (2010, October). *Interrogations, False Confessions and the Polygraph: Issues and Concerns From Psychological Science*. Invited address at the World Congress of Forensic Sciences and Polygraph (Congreso Mundial de Ciencias Forenses y Poligrafia) Cartagena, Columbia.

Honts, C. R., & Crawford, M. (2010, March). *Polygraph Countermeasures Cannot Be Detected From Respiratory Signatures: Government Policy Puts The Innocent At Risk*. Paper presented at the 2010 meeting of the American Psychology Law Society, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.

Honts, C. R., & Hartwig, M. (2010, March). *Nine Years After 9-11, Why So Little Progress On Assessing Credibility At Portals?* Paper presented at the 2010 meeting of the American Psychology Law Society, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.

**2009**

Honts, C. R. (2009, January). *Research on Countermeasures and the Utah Polygraph Technique*. Invited address at the annual meeting of the National Polygraph Association, Las Vegas, Nevada.

Honts, C. R. (2009, August). *Countermeasures in 2009: What We Know and What We Don't Know*. Invited address at the annual meeting of the American Polygraph Association, Nashville, Tennessee.

- Honts, C. R. (2009, August). *A Scientific Perspective of the Comparison Question Test*. Invited address at the annual meeting of the American Polygraph Association, Nashville, Tennessee.
- Honts, C. R. (2009, August). *The Scientific Community's View of Interrogations, Confessions, False Confessions, and Actual Innocence*. Invited address at the annual meeting of the American Polygraph Association, Nashville, Tennessee.
- Honts, C. R. (2009, September). *Psychophysiological Detection of Deception: A Scientific Perspective in 2009*. Invited address at the annual meeting of New Jersey Polygraphists.
- Honts, C. R. (2009, September). *A Scientific Perspective of the Comparison Question Test*. Invited address at the annual meeting of the New Jersey Polygraphists, Atlantic City, New Jersey.
- Honts, C. R. (2009, September). *The Utah Scoring System*. Invited address at the annual meeting of the New Jersey Polygraphists.
- Honts, C. R. (2009, September). *Countermeasures in 2009: What We Know and What We Don't Know*. Invited address at the annual meeting of the New Jersey Polygraphists, Atlantic City, New Jersey.
- Honts, C. R., Kassin, S., Forrest, K. D. (2009, March). *Polygraph Examiners Unable to Discriminate True and False Juvenile Confessions: Reid Training Detrimental*. Paper presented at the 2009 meeting of the American Psychology Law Society, San Antonio, Texas.
- Honts, C. R., & Raskin, D. C. (2009, November). *Countermeasures in 2009: What We Know and What We Don't Know*. Invited address at Asociacion Colombiana de Poligrafistas, Bogota, Colombia.
- Honts, C. R., Reavy, R., Markowski, K., McBride, S., Pitman, J., & Pitman, F. (2009, March). *Variations in Comparison Question Test Methods Have Little Impact*. Paper presented at the 2009 meeting of the American Psychology Law Society, San Antonio, Texas.
- Raskin, D. C., Kircher, J. C., & Honts, C. R. (2009, November). *Utah Zone Technique*. Invited address at Asociacion Colombiana de Poligrafistas, Bogota, Colombia.

**2008**

- Honts, C. R. (2008, March). *The polygraph: Misrepresented, misunderstood, and misused*. Invited address Psychology and Law Lecture Series at The Marian Miner Cook Athenaeum, Claremont McKenna College, Claremont, California.
- Honts, C. R., Pittman, F. A., Pittman, J. V., McBride, S. T., Anderson, A. B., & Christiansen, A. K., (2008, May). *A New Paradigm for the Study of Deception Detection at Portals*. Paper presented at the Association for Psychological Science annual meeting in Chicago, Illinois.

**2007**

- Christiansen, A., & Honts, C. R. (2007, March). *The Incomprehensibility of judicial instructions and subsequent jury decisions*. Paper presented at *Off the Witness Stand: Using Psychology in the Practice of Justice*, John Jay College of Criminal Justice, New York.

Christiansen A. K., Honts, C. R., & Oldemeyer, L. (2007, May). *Relationship Between Aggressive Authoritarianism And Juror Bias In Capital Trials*, paper presented at the Western Psychological Association, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.

Forrest, K. D., Simonsen, S., & Honts, C. R. (2007, September). *A comparison of maximization and minimization in the cheating paradigm*. Paper presented at *Interrogations & Confessions: A Conference Exploring Current Research, Practice, and Policy*, The University of Texas at El Paso, Texas.

Honts, C. R. (2007, March). *Munsterberg's polygraph in 2007: The emergence of the polygraph as an effective tool in criminal justice and national security*. Paper presented at *Off the Witness Stand: Using Psychology in the Practice of Justice*, John Jay College of Criminal Justice, New York.

Honts, C. R. (2007, May). *Assessing Credibility at Portals*. Invited address given at the *Credibility Assessment Research Summit (CARS)* held by the Counterintelligence Field Activity (CIFA), Combating Terrorism Technology Support Office (CTTSO), and the Defense Academy for Credibility Assessment (DACA). Vienna, Virginia.

Honts, C. R. & Kassin, S. M. (2007, March). *False confessions by juvenile offenders found more believable than the truth*. Paper presented at *Off the Witness Stand: Using Psychology in the Practice of Justice*, John Jay College of Criminal Justice, New York.

Honts, C. R. & Kassin, S. M. (2007, September). *False confessions by juvenile offenders as believable as true confessions*. Paper presented at *Interrogations & Confessions: A Conference Exploring Current Research, Practice, and Policy*, The University of Texas at El Paso, Texas.

## **2006**

Forrey, S. A. & Honts, C. R. (2006, April). *Individual views of felons returning to the community*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Park City, Utah.

Honts, C. R. (2006, March). *The science of false confessions*. Invited address presented at: *Life in the Balance 2006: Defending Death Penalty Cases*. National Legal Aid & Defender Association, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

Honts, C. R. (2006, March). *The polygraph in 2006: Basic issues and novel uses*. Invited address presented at: *Life in the Balance 2006: Defending Death Penalty Cases*. National Legal Aid & Defender Association, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

Honts, C. R. (2006, March). *Polygraph in the American courts: Current status, novel uses, and future prospects*. Invited address presented at the European Expert Meeting on Polygraph Testing: Practical Session, Maastrich, The Netherlands.

Honts, C. R. (2006, March). *The science of psychophysiological deception detection: An analysis from an applied scientific perspective*. Invited address presented at the European Expert Meeting on Polygraph Testing: Research Session, Maastrich, The Netherlands.

- Honts, C. R. (2006, April). *Contemporary research on the comparison question test*. Invited address given at the Unit for Criminal, Legal and Investigative Psychology, Göteborgs Universitet, Göteborg, Sweden.
- Honts, C. R. (2006, April). *Deception and deception detection: The unwanted psychology*. Presidential address delivered at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, The Canyons, Utah.
- Honts, C. R. (2006, October). *The psychophysiological detection of deception: The state of the science in 2006*. Invited address given at the University of Nebraska at Kearney.
- Honts, C. R., Huck, B., & Sanders, S. (2006, April). *Certainly, I would know a false confession by a juvenile if I saw one?* Paper presented in the Presidential symposium: *Contemporary deception and deception detection research in the Rocky Mountain Region*, at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Park City, Utah.
- Turnblom, K.A. & Honts, C. R. (2006, April). *Mortality Salience and attitudes toward the death penalty*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Park City, Utah.
- Turnblom, K. A., & Honts, Charles, C. R. (2006, April). *Indications of truthfulness resulting from race perceptions*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Park City, Utah.

**2005**

- Forrest, K., Ewton, S., & Honts, C. R., (2005, March). *"I confess ...I hit the 'ALT' key:" Could the "ALT" key be misleading special knowledge?* Paper presented at the American Psychology-Law Conference in La Jolla, California, USA.
- Honts, C. R. (2005, March). *Information gain for the psychophysiological detection of deception*. Paper presented at the American Psychology-Law Conference in La Jolla, California, USA.
- Honts, C. R. (2005, May). *Polygraph countermeasures*. Invited lecture at 第二届全国心理测试高级培训班（中国洛阳）Second Chinese National Seminar on Polygraph. Luoyang, China.
- Honts, C. R. (2005, November). *The psychology of false confessions*. Invited address at the Atlantic Canadian Conference: *Understanding Wrongful Convictions*, sponsored by Saint John Police Force and Office of the Attorney General of New Brunswick. Saint John, New Brunswick, Canada. <http://www.uwcsaintjohn.ca/index.html>
- Honts, C. R., & Raskin, D. C. (2005, May). *The directed lie comparison question*. Invited lectures at 第二届全国心理测试高级培训班（中国洛阳）Second Chinese National Seminar on Polygraph. Luoyang, China.
- Honts, C. R., & Raskin, D. C. (2005, May). *The polygraph in employment and national security*. Invited lectures at 第二届全国心理测试高级培训班（中国洛阳）Second Chinese National Seminar on Polygraph. Luoyang, China.

- Honts, C. R. (2005, September). *Deception and deception detection*. Invited address at the Fraud and Identity Theft Conference, Boise State University, Boise, Idaho.
- Honts, C. R., & Turnblom, K. A., (2005, August). *Eyewitness identification more difficult under low illumination: Live event experiment*. Paper presented at the annual meetings of the American Psychological Association, Washington DC.
- Raskin, D. C., & Honts, C. R. (2005, May). *Polygraph techniques*. Invited lectures at 第二届全国心理测试高级培训班（中国洛阳）Second Chinese National Seminar on Polygraph. Luoyang, China.
- Raskin, D. C., & Honts, C. R. (2005, May). *Polygraph numerical scoring system*. Invited lectures at 第二届全国心理测试高级培训班（中国洛阳）Second Chinese National Seminar on Polygraph. Luoyang, China.
- Raskin, D. C., & Honts, C. R. (2005, July). *Using the polygraph in employment and national security*. Paper presented at: Workshop on the Use of Autonomic and Somatic Measures for Security Evaluations, John C. Kircher, Chair, National Science Foundation and Office of Science and Technology Effort to Propose an Agenda for Research on Security Evaluations. National Science Foundation, Arlington, Virginia.
- Turnblom, K., & Honts, C. R. (2005, March). *The accuracy of eyewitness identification under differing illumination condition*. Paper presented at the American Psychology-Law Conference in La Jolla, California USA.
- Turnblom K., & Honts, C. R. (2005, April). *The accuracy of eyewitness identification in sequential lineups and varied illumination*. Paper presented at the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association meeting in Phoenix, Arizona.
- Turnblom K., & Honts, C. R. (2005, April). *Intrinsic Vs. Extrinsic Religiosity And Feelings Of Regret About Sexual Behavior*. Paper presented at the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association meeting in Phoenix, Arizona.
- 2004**
- Anders, S., Forrest, K. D., & Honts, C. R., (March, 2004). *Uncommon knowledge: getting judges past what they think they know and gaining acceptance for expert testimony in social science disciplines*. Paper presented at the biennial meeting of the American Psychology-Law Society, Scottsdale, Arizona.
- Forrest, K. D., Honts, C. R., & Anders, S. (March, 2004). *Laypersons' predictions of research findings sometimes fail to demonstrate common knowledge*. Paper presented at the biennial meeting of the American Psychology-Law Society, Scottsdale, Arizona.
- Hill, B., Honts, C., Lutsky, N., & Lodge, G. (2004, April). *Perspectives on teaching: The young, old, & the aged*. STP/CTUP Conversation Hour, R. Miller, Moderator, Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Reno, Nevada.
- Honts, C. R. (2004, March). *The polygraph in 2004: How to tell the good from the bad in both science and practice*. Invited address presented at Life in the Balance 2004: Defending Death Penalty Cases. National Legal Aid & Defender Association, Memphis, Tennessee.



Honts, C. R., & Forrest, K. D., (2004, April). *A cautionary note for the teaching of psychology and law: Media images may be more persuasive than data.* Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Reno, Nevada.

Honts, C. R., & Raskin, D. C. (March, 2004). *Polygraph critics advocate convicting the innocent.* Paper presented at the biennial meeting of the American Psychology-Law Society, Scottsdale, Arizona.

## **2003**

Bell, V., Booras, A., Hunsaker, C., Mower, K., Villamarin, V., Wilson, S., Forrest, K., & Honts C. R. (2003, April). *Women give fewer false confessions than men in a laboratory study.* Paper presented at the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association Meeting, Denver Co.

Forrest, K. D., Wilson, S. L., & Honts, C. R. (2003, July). *Think about what you have done in the past: Does rumination elicit false confessions?* Paper presented at the Second International Interdisciplinary Conference on Psychology and Law, Edinburgh, Scotland.

Honts, C. R. (2003, March). *The psychophysiological detection of deception: The state of the science in 2003.* Invited address given at: Rättspsykologiskt symposium: Hur avslöjas en lögnare? (Deception Detection in Forensic Contexts.) Sponsored by the Unit for Criminal, Legal and Investigative Psychology, Göteborgs Universitet, Göteborg, Sweden.

Honts, C. R. (2003, October). *Participant perceptions support the rationale of the comparison question test for the psychophysiological detection of deception.* Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Chicago, Illinois.

Skogsberg, K., & Honts, C. R., & Wolfe, G. (2003, April). *Case studies of EEG biofeedback training using a measurement of affect.* Paper presented at the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association Meeting, Denver, Colorado.

## **2002**

Alloway, W. R., & Honts, C. R. (2002, April). *An information countermeasure has no effect on the validity of the Test for Espionage and Sabotage (TES).* Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Park City, Utah.

Honts, C. R. (2002, April). *A higher education application of Åronson's Jigsaw Technique.* Invited lecture sponsored by the APA Higher Education Directorate & the Society for the Teaching of Psychology at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Park City, Utah.

Honts, C. R. (2002, September). *Countermeasures, can we detect them, the scientific research, and can we neutralize them.* Invited address at the Midwest Polygraph Training Seminar. Sponsored by the National Polygraph Association, Kansas Polygraph Association, Missouri Polygraph Association and the Nebraska Polygraph Association, Overland Park, Kansas.

Honts, C. R. (2002, September). *The directed lie technique*. Invited address at the Midwest Polygraph Training Seminar. Sponsored by the National Polygraph Association, Kansas Polygraph Association, Missouri Polygraph Association and the Nebraska Polygraph Association, Overland Park, Kansas.

Honts, C. R., Thurber, S., Cvencek, D., & Alloway, W. (2002, March). *General acceptance of the polygraph by the scientific community: Two surveys of professional attitudes*. Paper presented at the American Psychology-Law Society biennial meeting, Austin, Texas.

Villamarin, V. A., Honts, C. R. (2002, April). *A comparison of three methods of scoring comparison question polygraph tests*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Park City, Utah.

## **2001**

Cvencek, D., Honts, C. R., Kruger-Warn, E., Alloway, W., Hunsaker, C., & Nudson, O. N. (2001, April). *Psycho-legal scholars report positive attitudes towards polygraph validity*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Reno, Nevada.

Honts, C. R. (2001, April). *Truth or just bias: The strange mix of psychology, law and the detection of deception*. RMPA Invited Lecture, presented at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Reno, Nevada.

Honts, C. R. (2001, April). *Truth or bias: Psychology and the polygraph*. Invited address, distinguished lectures in Psychology, The University of Nebraska, Kearney.

Honts, C. R. (2001, April). *Child Witness: Credibility and Assessment*. Invited address, distinguished lectures in Psychology, The University of Nebraska, Kearney.

Honts, C. R. (2001, April). *Documenting your credentials when applying for graduate school and for academic employment*. In S. Amato, C. R. Honts, & J. Purdy: Where's the beef? Obtaining and demonstrating skills essential for employment and graduate school, a symposium presented at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Reno, Nevada.

Honts, C. R. (2001, April). *Undergraduate involvement in an active detection of deception laboratory*. In A. Webb: Undergraduate teaching and research: Roles are constrained only by imagination, Society for the Teaching of Psychology (Div 2) Invited symposium presented at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Reno, Nevada.

Honts, C. R. (2001, July). *Validity of the polygraph when used for national security screening*. Invited presentation before the National Academy of Sciences National Research Council Division on Behavioral and Social Sciences and Education, Committee to Review the Scientific Evidence on the Polygraph. Woods Hole, Massachusetts.

## **2000**

Amato, S. L., Dillinger, R. J., & Honts, C. R. (2000, March). *Psychophysiological detection of deception exams in the treatment of sex offenders*. Paper presented at the American Psychology-Law Society biennial meeting, New Orleans, Louisiana.

Hanson, G., Kruger-Warn, E. R., Honts, C. R., & Thurber, S. (2000, May). *Approaches to the analysis of 2 X 3 contingency tables in detection of deception research: A Monte Carlo analysis*. Paper given at the annual meeting of the Idaho Psychological Association, Boise, Idaho.

Honts, C. R., Amato, S., & Gordon, A. (2000, March). *Outside issues dramatically reduce the accuracy of polygraph tests given to innocent individuals*. Paper presented at the American Psychology-Law Society biennial meeting, New Orleans, Louisiana.

Kruger-Warn, E. R., Hanson, G., Honts, C. R., & Thurber, S. (2000, May). *Approaches to the analysis of 2 X 3 contingency tables in detection of deception research: A power analysis for a small effect*. Paper given at the annual meeting of the Idaho Psychological Association, Boise, Idaho.

### **1999**

Amato, S. L., & Honts, C. R. (1999, May). *Automated polygraph examination outperforms human in employment screening context*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Midwestern Psychological Association, Chicago, Illinois.

Amato, S. L., & Honts, C. R. (1999, July). *Polygraph testing in the American courts: A mismatch between science and the law*. Paper presented at the International Psychology Law Conference, Dublin, Ireland.

Honts, C. R. (1999, August). *Polygraph algorithms: What are they? and Do they work?* Invited address at the annual meeting of the American Polygraph Association, Dallas, Texas.

Honts, C. R., & Amato, S. (1999, April). *Human v. machine: Research examining the automation of polygraph testing*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Fort Collins Colorado.

Otter, K. D., Amato, S., & Honts, C. R. (1999, April). *Spontaneous countermeasures during polygraph examinations: An apparent exercise in futility*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Fort Collins Colorado.

### **1998**

Amato, S. L. & Honts, C. R. (1998, March). *The impairing effects of misinformation: Challenging the permanence of memory*. Paper presented at the American Psychology-Law Biennial Conference, Redondo Beach, California.

Devitt, M. K., Honts, C. R., & Letterman, M. R. (1998, March). *Regional differences effect mock juror decisions and trial outcome in cases involving sexual abuse allegations*. Paper presented at the American Psychology-Law Biennial Conference, Redondo Beach, California.

Honts, C. R. (1998, April). *Psychological science in the courtroom: Five years after Daubert*. Symposium presented (and chaired) at the joint meeting of the Western Psychological Association and the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Honts, C. R. (1998, April). *The polygraph after Daubert*. Paper presented at the joint meeting of the Western Psychological Association and the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

**1997**

- Amato, S. L., & Honts, C. R. (1997, May). *Understanding misinformation's effect on memory through a concealed knowledge test paradigm*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Society, Washington, DC.
- Honts, C. R. (1997, May). *Is it time to reject the friendly polygraph examiner hypothesis (FEPH)?* Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Society, Washington, D.C.
- Nowell, B., Lamet, J. Amato, S. L., & Honts, C. R. (1997, April). *Child abuse vs. normal interactions: A review of the literature*. Paper presented the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Reno, Nevada.
- Orner, V. L., Devitt, M. K., Honts, C. R., & Donaldson, J. S. (1997, March). *Regional attitude differences toward repressed and nonrepressed memories*. Paper presented at the Oklahoma Psychological Association and Oklahoma Psychological Society 15th Annual Spring Conference to Encourage and Develop Psychological Research, Edmond, OK.
- Orner, V. L., Devitt, M. K., & Honts, C. R. (May, 1997). *Regional differences in attitudes toward repressed and nonrepressed memories*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Southwestern Psychological Association, Fort Worth, Texas.

**1996**

- Amato-Henderson, S. L., Honts, C. R., & Plaud, J. J. (October, 1996). *Effects of misinformation on the concealed knowledge test*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.
- Devitt, M. K., Honts, C. R., & Loftus, E. F. (April, 1996). *The effects of misinformation on memory for complete events*. Paper presented at the 1996 Southwestern Psychological Association Annual Conference, Houston, Texas.
- Devitt, M. K., Honts, C. R., & Timm, T. M. (April, 1996). *Sleeping memories revisited: Mock jurors' attitudes regarding repressed memories*. Paper presented at the 1996 Southwestern Psychological Association Annual Conference, Houston, Texas.
- Devitt, M. K., Loftus, E. F. & Honts, C. R. (November, 1996) *Memory for fictitious events*. Paper presented at the 37th Annual Meeting of the Psychonomic Society, Inc, Chicago, IL.
- Honts, C. R. (April, 1996). *Science and the child witness: Credibility and its assessment*. Invited address given at the Idaho Psychological Association meetings in Sun Valley, Idaho.
- Tye, M. J. C., & Honts, C. R. (August, 1996). *Evaluating children's testimonies with training in criteria based content analysis*. Paper presented at the meetings of the American Psychological Association, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

**1995**

- Gillund, B., Ferraro, F. R., Petros, T., & Honts, C. R. (June, 1995). *Time course of word recognition resource allocation between good and poor readers*. Paper presented at the annual meetings of the American Psychological Society, New York City.

Honts, C. R. (January, 1995). *Credibility assessment with children*. Paper presented at CRIMECON: The International INTERNET Conference on Crime and Criminal Justice.

Honts, C. R. (October, 1995). *The Raskin legacy: Psychophysiology, psychology, and the law*. Paper given in C. R. Honts and S. W. Porges, *The Raskin legacy: From Pavlov to the law: A preconference symposium honoring David C. Raskin*. Special Event at the annual meetings of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Toronto, Canada.

Honts, C. R., Devitt, M. K., Tye, M. J. C., Peters, D. P., & Vondergeest, L. (April, 1995). *credibility assessment with children*. Paper presented at the Society for Research in Child Development meetings in Indianapolis, Indiana.

Honts, C. R., & Kircher, J. C. (October, 1995). *Legends of the concealed knowledge test: Lykken's distributional scoring system fails to detect countermeasures*. Paper presented at the meetings of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Toronto, Canada.

Kircher, J. C., Raskin, D. C., Honts, C. R., & Horowitz, S. W. (October, 1995). *Lens model analysis of decision-making by field polygraph examiners*. Paper presented at the meetings of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Toronto, Canada.

Tye, M. J. C., & Honts, C. R. (April, 1995). *Adults are no better than chance at detecting children's narrative deception*. Paper presented at the Society for Research in Child Development meetings in Indianapolis, Indiana.

Tye, M. J. C., & Honts, C. R. (November, 1995). *Training in a new assessment technique (SVA) for the diagnosis of the sexually abused child*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Association for Advancement of Behavior Therapy, Washington, DC.

Tye, M. J. C., Henderson, S. A., & Honts, C. R. (January, 1995). *Evaluating children's testimonies: CBCA and lay subjects*. Paper presented at CRIMECON: International INTERNET Conference on Crime and Criminal Justice.

## **1994**

Amato, S. L. & Honts, C. R. (October, 1994). *What do psychophysiologicalists think about polygraph tests? A survey of the membership of SPR*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Atlanta, GA.

Amato, S. L., & Honts, C. R. (March, 1994). *A survey of the Society for Psychological Research regarding the polygraph*. Paper presented at the Red River Valley Psychology Conference, Fargo ND.

Devitt, M. K., Honts, C. R., Gillund, B. E., Amato, S. L., Peters, D. P., & Norton, M. (March, 1994). *A study of the willingness of children to make false accusations about a serious matter*. Paper presented at the American Psychology - Law Society Meetings, Sante Fe, NM.

Devitt, M. K., Honts, C. R., & Timm, T. (October, 1994). *Sleeping memories revisited: The effects of repressed and non-repressed memories on jurors*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the North Dakota Psychological Association, Grand Forks.

- Honts, C. R. (May, 1994). *False allegations in sexual abuse cases*. Invited address at the 1st Annual Conference on Psychiatry and the Law, Missoula Psychiatric Services, Missoula, Montana.
- Honts, C. R. (August, 1994). *The psychophysiological detection of deception*. Invited address given at Vitnepsykologi - 94: Psychological Methods in the Investigation and Court Treatment of Sexual Abuse, Tromsø, Norway.
- Honts, C. R. (October, 1994). *Field validity study of the Canadian Police College polygraph technique*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Atlanta, GA.
- Honts, C. R., & Devitt, M. K. (March, 1994). *Credibility assessment of verbatim statements (CAVS): A study of statement analysis with adults*. Paper presented at the meeting of the American Psychology - Law Society, Sante Fe, NM.
- Honts, C. R., Winbush, M., & Devitt, M. K. (October, 1994). *Physical and mental countermeasures can be used to defeat guilty knowledge tests*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Atlanta, GA.
- Honts, C. R., & Raskin, D. C. (August, 1994). *The susceptibility of children to suggestion and influence*. Invited address given at Vitnepsykologi - 94: Psychological Methods in the Investigation and Court Treatment of Sexual Abuse, Tromsø, Norway.
- Kircher, J. C., Raskin, D. C., Honts C. R., & Horowitz, S. W. (October, 1994). *Generalizability of statistical classifiers for the detection of deception*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Atlanta, GA.
- Raskin, D. C., & Honts, C. R. (1994). *A bootstrap reanalysis of the results of Boychuk, 1991*. Invited address given at Vitnepsykologi - 94: Psychological Methods in the Investigation and Court Treatment of Sexual Abuse, Tromsø, Norway.
- Telander, R., & Honts, C. R. (March, 1994). *Bingo: Who is playing and why?* Paper presented at the Red River Valley Psychology Conference, Fargo, ND.
- Tye, M. J. C., & Honts, C. R. (March, 1994). *Evaluating children's testimonies*. Paper presented at the Red River Valley Psychology Conference, Fargo, ND.
- Tye, M. J. C., Amato, S. L., Honts, C. R. (October, 1994). *Evaluating children's testimonies: Recent research*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the North Dakota Psychological Association, Grand Forks.

**1993**

- Amato, S. L., & Honts, C. R. (October, 1993). *Scientific Attitudes about the polygraph: Implications for admissibility following the death of Frye*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the North Dakota Psychological Association, Fargo, ND.
- Devitt, M. K., & Honts, C. R. (June, 1993). *Multivariate classifiers perform as well as experts in the detection of deception*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Society, Chicago.

- Devitt, M. K., Honts, C. R., & Gillund B. (June, 1993). *Stealing thunder does not ameliorate the effects of the hired gun cross-examination tactic*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Association for Applied and Preventive Psychology, Chicago.
- Honts, C. R. (February, 1993). *The application of computationally intensive statistical techniques in a psychophysiological detection of deception problem*. University of North Dakota, Computer Science Colloquium. Grand Forks, North Dakota.
- Honts, C. R. (October, 1993). *Symposium: Assessing children's credibility*. (Chair) Symposium presented at the annual meeting of the North Dakota Psychological Association, Fargo, ND.
- Honts, C. R. (October, 1993). *Statement validity assessment: A technique for assessing the veracity of a child witness*. Paper presented in C. R. Honts, Chair, Symposium: Assessing children's credibility. North Dakota Psychological Association, Fargo, ND.
- Honts, C. R., & Devitt, M. K. (June, 1993). *Bootstrap statistical decision maker developed for use in the detection of deception*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Society, Chicago.
- Honts, C. R., Devitt, M. K., & Amato, S. L. (June, 1993). *Explanatory style predicts perceptions of expert witness believability*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Association of Applied and Preventive Psychology, Chicago.
- Vondergeest, L. K., Honts, C. R., & Devitt, M. K. (June, 1993). *No gender effects found on mock jurors's perceptions of expert witness credibility*. Paper presented at PSY-CHI session at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Society, Chicago.

**1992**

- Devitt, M. K., Honts, C. R., & Peters, D. P. (1992, April). *Truth or just bias: The presentation of polygraph testing in introductory psychology texts*. Paper presented at the Red River Valley Psychology Conference.
- Devitt, M. K., Peters, D., Honts, C. R., & Amato, S. (1992, May). *A study of the willingness of children to make a false accusation about a serious matter*. Paper presented at the NATO ASI: The Child Witness in Context: Cognitive, Social, and Legal Perspectives, IL Ciocco, Italy.
- Honts, C. R., & Devitt, M. K. (1992, March). *The hired gun cross examination tactic reduced mock jurors' perception of expert witness' credibility*. Paper presented at the biennial meeting of the American Psychology-Law Society/Division 41 San Diego, CA.
- Honts, C. R. (1992, April). *The psychophysiological detection of deception: Sparks fly at the intersection of science, psychology, and the law*. Keynote address of the Red River Psychology Conference, North Dakota State University, Fargo.
- Honts, C. R., Peters, D., Devitt, M. K., & Amato, S. L. (1992, May). *Detecting children's lies with statement validity assessment: A pilot study of a laboratory paradigm*. Paper presented at the NATO ASI: The Child Witness in Context: Cognitive, Social, and Legal Perspectives, IL Ciocco, Italy.

Honts, C. R., Devitt, M. K., & Amato, S. L. (1992, October). *Neural network classifiers and the detection of deception revisited: Depth of learning and overfitting*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, San Diego, CA.

Vondergeest, L. K., Honts, C. R., & Devitt, M. K. (1992, April). *Effects of juror and expert witness gender on jurors' perception of the expert witness*. Paper presented at the Red River Valley Psychology Conference.

### **1991**

Devitt, M. K., Shubert, L. E., & Honts, C. R. (1991, April). *Male and female knowledge of and responsibilities in the use of contraceptives*. Paper presented at the Red River Psychology Conference, Moorhead, Minnesota.

Honts, C. R. (1991, February). *Psychophysiological detection of deception*. Presentation given at the Psychiatry Grand Rounds of North Dakota. North Dakota Educational Telephone Network (ETN).

Honts, C. R. (1991, June). *The counterintelligence scope polygraph test found to be a poor discriminator*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Society, Washington, D. C.

Honts, C. R. (1991, November). *Jackknife, cross-validation, bootstrapping, and neural networks? An introduction to the application of computationally intensive methods in psychology*. University of North Dakota, Psychology Department Colloquium Series, Grand Forks, North Dakota.

Honts, C. R., & Kristjanson, A. (1991, June). *A back-propagation neural network fails to out perform discriminant analysis in a physiological detection of deception task*. Paper presented at the First Annual Convention of the American Association of Applied and Preventive Psychology (AAAPP), Washington, D. C.

Honts, C. R. (1991, October). *Converging evidence indicates invalidity for national security screening polygraph tests*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society For Psychophysiological Research, Chicago, IL.

Honts, C. R., & Devitt, M. K. (1991, October). *Jackknife analyses of discriminant, logistic regression and back propagation neural network classifiers in a psychophysiological detection of deception problem*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Chicago, IL.

### **1990**

Honts, C. R. (1994, December). *Polygraph tests: Facts, fables, and the future*. Colloquium given at the Department of Psychology, North Dakota State University, Fargo, North Dakota.

Honts, C. R., & Raskin, D. C. (1990, March). *The "Yes" answered control question: Dispelling one of the myths of the polygraph profession*. Paper presented at the 1990 American Psychology-Law Society/Division 41 Biennial Meeting, Williamsburg VA.



Honts, C. R., & Carlton, B. (1990, October). *Effects of incentives on the detection of deception*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Boston, MA.

**1989**

Barland, G. H., Honts, C. R., & Barger, S. D. (1989, October). *The validity of detection of deception for multiple issues*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, New Orleans, LA.

Honts, C. R., Barland, G. H., & Barger, S. D. (1989, October). *The relative validity of criminal and screening approaches to the detection of deception*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, New Orleans, LA.

**1988**

Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., Kircher, J. C., & Horowitz, S. W. (1988, March). *A field validity study of the control question test*. Paper presented at the American Psychology and Law Society / Division 41 Midyear Conference, Miami, Florida.

Honts, C. R., & Driscoll, L. D. (1988, April). *The development of a rank order scoring system for detection of deception*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Snowbird, Utah.

Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., & Kircher, J. C. (1988, April). *Visceral perception and the detection of deception*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Snowbird, Utah.

Honts, C. R., Horowitz, S. W., & Raskin, D. C. (1988, April). *Can the short Eysenck questionnaire be substituted for the Eysenck Personality Inventory*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Snowbird, Utah.

Honts, C. R., Kircher, J. C., & Raskin, D. C. (1988, October). *Patterns of activation and deception*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, San Francisco, CA.

Horowitz, S. W., Collins, S., Raskin, D. C., & Honts, C. R. (July, 1988). *Facial expressions of deceit*. Paper presented at the NATO Advanced Study Institute, Credibility Assessment: A Unified Theoretical and Research Perspective, Maratea, Italy.

Horowitz, S. W., Raskin, D. C., Honts, C. R., & Kircher, J. C. (1988, October). *Control questions in physiological detection of deception*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, San Francisco, CA.

Kircher, J. C., Raskin, D. C., Honts, C. R., & Horowitz, S. W. (1988, October). *Generalizability of mock crime laboratory studies of the control question polygraph technique*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, San Francisco, CA.

Raskin, D. C., Kircher, J. C., Honts, C. R., & Horowitz, S. W. (1988, October). *Validity of control question polygraph tests in criminal investigation*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, San Francisco, CA.

**1987**

- Honts, C. R. (February, 1987). *Countermeasures to the physiological detection of deception*. Colloquium given at the Psychology Department, Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, North Carolina.
- Honts, C. R. & Prestrude, A. M. (1987, April). *Interocular effect on specific thresholds for flicker*. Paper presented at the meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Albuquerque, New Mexico.
- Honts, C. R. (1987, April). *Polygraph credibility assessment*. In John Yuille (Chair), Symposium: *Assessing eyewitness credibility*. Paper presented at the meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Albuquerque, New Mexico.
- Honts, C. R. & Raskin, D. C. (1987, May). *A field validation study of directed lie and standard control questions*. Paper presented at the meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Albuquerque, New Mexico.
- Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., & Kircher, J. C. (1987, August). *Is polygraph test accuracy related to perceptions of test accuracy?* Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Association, New York.

**1986**

- Honts, C. R. (April, 1986). *Clinical versus statistical decision making in the physiological detection of deception: Possible solutions to problems of application*. Colloquium given at the Department of Psychology, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg.
- Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., & Kircher, J. C. (1986, March). *Socialization and the detection of deception*. Paper presented at the American Psychology and Law Society / Division 41 Midyear Conference, Tucson, Arizona.
- Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., & Kircher, J. C. (1986, August). *Countermeasures and the detection of deception*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Association, Washington, D. C.
- Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., & Kircher, J. C. (1986, October). *Individual differences and the physiological detection of deception*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Montreal Canada.

**1985**

- Raskin, D. C., Kircher, J. C., & Honts, C. R. (1985, February). *Computerized polygraph interpretations and detection of physical countermeasures*. Invited paper, IDENTIA '85 International Congress on Techniques for Criminal Identification, Jerusalem, Israel.
- Honts, C. R., (1985, August). *Research on countermeasures and the physiological detection of deception*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Association, Los Angeles, CA.

**1984**

Honts, C. R. (1984, October). *Countermeasures and the physiological detection of deception*. Paper presented at the meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Milwaukee, WI.

Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., Kircher, J. C., & Hodes, R. L. (1984, October). *Effects of spontaneous countermeasures on the physiological detection of deception*. Paper presented at the meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Milwaukee, WI.

Kircher, J. C., Raskin, D. C., & Honts, C. R. (1984, October). *Electrodermal habituation in the detection of deception*. Paper presented at the meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Milwaukee, WI.

**1983**

Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., & Kircher, J. C. (1983, September). *Detection of deception: Effectiveness of physical countermeasures under high motivation conditions*. Paper presented at the meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Pacific Grove, CA

**1982**

Honts, C. R. (1982, April). *The interocular effect and specific thresholds for flicker*. Paper presented at the Experimental Area Annual Research Fest, Department of Psychology, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg.

Honts, C. R. & Hodes, R. L. (1982, October). *The effect of simple physical countermeasures on the detection of deception*. Paper presented at the meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Minneapolis, MN.

Honts, C. R. & Hodes, R. L. (1982, October). *The effects of multiple physical countermeasures on the detection of deception*. Paper presented at the meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Minneapolis, MN.

**1981**

Honts, C. R. (1981, April). *Effects of instructions and feedback on the control of phasic electrodermal activity*. Paper presented at the Experimental Area Annual Research Fest, Department of Psychology, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg.

**Public Addresses and Other Public Service Activities:**

---

- "Validity and reliability of detection of deception examinations in the laboratory and in the field." Bonneville Community Corrections Center, Salt Lake City, Utah, May 18, 1983.
- "Countermeasures: The detection of attempts to defeat the polygraph test." Arizona Polygraph Association Seminar, Phoenix, Arizona, April 7, 1984.
- "Commercial uses and abuses of the polygraph." Bountiful Area Chamber of Commerce, Bountiful, Utah, June 20, 1984.
- "Countermeasures". The Third Annual colloquium on Polygraph Science and Methodology. Sponsored by: The Academy of Polygraph Science and Methodology and The Department of Psychology, University of North Carolina, Charlotte. June 3, 1985.
- "Countermeasures, the directed lie control question, socialization, and other things." Canadian Association of Police Polygraphists Annual Seminar, Ottawa, Canada, August 21, 1986.
- "The polygraph in 1987". The Intermountain Junior Science and Humanities Symposium. University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, February 26, 1987.
- "The ethics of the use of polygraph tests in the work place". Business Ethics Seminar, College of Business, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, August 3, 1987.
- "A computer assisted polygraph system". Utah Polygraph Association, Salt Lake City, Utah, December 16, 1987.
- "Countermeasures and psychophysiological patterning in the detection of deception". Department of Defense Polygraph Institute, Fort McClellan, Alabama, March 7, 1988.
- "Changes and future directions for the Department of Defense Polygraph Institute", Federal Interagency Polygraph Seminar, FBI Academy, Quantico, Virginia, June 6, 1989.
- "Research in the detection of deception", Panel Discussion, Federal Interagency Polygraph Seminar, FBI Academy, Quantico, Virginia, June 9, 1989.
- "The evaluation of psychophysiological data from polygraph examinations". United States Secret Service - 1989 Polygraph Conference, Washington, D. C., November 11, 1989.
- "Validity of the positive control question test and the effects of countermeasures on the control question test". Naval Investigative Service Annual Polygraph Seminar, Department of Defense Polygraph Institute, Fort McClellan, Alabama. July 16, 1990.
- "Topics in the detection of deception". Panel Discussion. The 21st Annual National Polygraph Workshop, Delta College, University Center, Michigan. May 10, 1991.
- "The directed lie control question: Research and practice". The 21st Annual National Polygraph Workshop, Delta College, University Center, Michigan. May 10, 1991.
- "Countermeasures and the detection of deception: Facts not fables". The 21st Annual National Polygraph Workshop, Delta College, University Center, Michigan. May 10, 1991.

- "Credibility assessment with adults and children." Invited address to the North Dakota State's Attorneys Association. University of North Dakota Law School. February 11, 1994.
- "Junk science in the courtroom." Presentation at the North Dakota Supreme Court Judicial Institute, University of North Dakota Law School, Grand Forks. May 23, 1994.
- "New developments in the assessment of children's credibility." Presentation at the North Dakota Supreme Court Judicial Institute, University of North Dakota Law School, Grand Forks. May 23, 1994.
- "The polygraph in 1994." Presentation at the North Dakota Supreme Court Judicial Institute, University of North Dakota Law School, Grand Forks. May 24, 1994.
- "Uses and abuses of polygraph test used in child sexual abuse litigation." Invited address at the Third International Conference of the National Child Abuse Defense and Resource Center, Cleveland, Ohio, September 22, 1994.
- "Child witness credibility: Influence factors and methods of assessment." Invited address at the Third International Conference of the National Child Abuse Defense and Resource Center, Cleveland, Ohio, September 23, 1994.
- "The child witness." Invited address at the Thirteenth Annual "Helen Hamilton Day" meetings at the University of North Dakota Law School. Sponsored by the University of North Dakota Law Women's Caucus. Grand Forks, North Dakota, May 1995.
- "Legal admissibility of the polygraph in 1996", Invited presentation at the Federal Public Defender's Third Thursday meeting. Boise, Idaho, March 1996.
- "The polygraph after Daubert", Invited lectures at the Indiana Public Defender Council 1997 Death Penalty Seminar. Indianapolis, Indiana, September 1997.
- Hannity and Colmes, 3 November 1997, Appearance on nationally broadcast television show concerning *Commonwealth v. Woodward*. Fox News Network.
- The Alan Colmes Show. Nationally syndicated radio talk show, 4 November 1997, Appearance on nationally broadcast radio talk show concerning *Commonwealth v. Woodward*.
- The Victoria Jones Show. Nationally syndicated radio talk show, 4 November 1997, Appearance on nationally broadcast radio talk show concerning *U. S. v. Scheffer* before the U. S. Supreme Court, and *Commonwealth v. Woodward*.
- KIVI News, 10 November 1997. Appearance on Boise evening news concerning *Commonwealth v. Woodward*.
- KTVB News, 10 November 1997. Appearance on Boise evening news concerning *Commonwealth v. Woodward*.
- KBCI News, 10 November 1997. Appearance on Boise evening news concerning *Commonwealth v. Woodward*.

German National Television, February 1998, *Lugeudetektor*, NTSC. Appearance on nationally broadcast documentary.

National Criminal Defense Lawyers Association, April 1998, What the Polygraph Can Do For Your Client: Scientific and Practical Aspects of the Polygraph After Daubert. Invited Address at the Spring Seminar, Santa Monica, California.

Washington Defenders' Conference, May 1998, Scientific Credibility Assessment: Life After Daubert and Scheffer. Two talks: Child Witnesses 1 May 1998, The Polygraph, 2 May 1998. Invited lectures at the annual conference. Sun Mountain Lodge, Winthrop, Washington.

Insight, The BBC World Service, November 1998, world-wide broadcast documentary.

Idaho Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers, March 1999, 1999 Winter Seminar: World Class Defenders & World Class Skiing. Repressed Memory: Junk Science in the Courtroom. Elkhorn Resort, Sun Valley, Idaho.

Idaho Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers, June 1999, The 1999 Spring Seminar. The Polygraph in Y2K. Idaho Falls, Idaho.

*Deadline Discovery*. January 31, 2001. Interview concerning the validity of Brain-fingerprinting. Broadcast on the Discovery Channel.

*60 Minutes II, Final Exam* with Scott Pelley, December 12, 2001, Feature on polygraph in national security. Broadcast on the CBS network.

Idaho Juvenile Corrections Management Team, May 21, 2002, *The Polygraph: Basic issues regarding sex offender testing*. Nampa, Idaho.

Juvenile Justice Advisory Team of Magistrate Judges, July 23, 2002, *The Polygraph: Basic issues regarding sex offender testing*. Boise, Idaho.

Juvenile Corrections Board, August 16, 2002, *The Polygraph: Basic issues regarding sex offender testing*. Boise, Idaho.

Federal Public Defender's Third Thursday Meeting, September 19, 2002. Invited presentation, "Assessing the credibility of a child witness," Boise, Idaho.

KCBI, Channel 2 News, *10 at 10*. Interview and news feature concerning the validity of polygraph testing. July 28, 2003, Boise, Idaho.

KBSU, Boise State Public Radio, *New Horizons in Education*, Interview regarding the validity of polygraph testing. June 4, 2004, rebroadcast August 6, 2004.

Dateline NBC, appeared on the episode, *The Mystery in Rock Hill*, first broadcast, 9 July 2010.

**World Wide Web Services:**

---

*Polygraph Law Resource Page*, <http://truth.boisestate.edu/polygraph/polylaw.html> (1997-2012)

*The Credibility Assessment and Witness Psychology List* - CAAWP@listserv.boisestate.EDU (1997-2007)

**Workshops and Conferences Organized and/or Conducted:**

---

*The First Annual Psychology and Law Conference* of the Psychology Department & the Division of Continuing Education, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, Co-Organized with Douglas Peters, July, 1991

NATO Advanced Study Institute - *The Child Witness in Context: Cognitive, Social, and Legal Perspectives*. IL Ciocco, Lucca, Italy. Executive Assistant to the Director, Douglas Peters  
On-site Program Manager, May, 1992

*Interviewing Children and Assessing Their Credibility*. Workshop sponsored by the Ward County (North Dakota) State's Attorney and the Ward County Social Service Board. Minot, North Dakota. April, 1994.

NATO Advanced Study Institute - *Credibility: International Perspectives on Assessment, Methods, and Research*. Director: Charles R. Honts, Organizing Committee: Marisa Alonso-Quecuty, Guenter Koehnken, and Sven Svebak. Proposed for 1995, not funded.

The State of New Mexico. *The polygraph in 1998: Advances in Polygraph Science*. Continuing education workshop for New Mexico Polygraph Examiners, Albuquerque, New Mexico, 18 and 19 April 1998. Partially funded by the State of New Mexico, Private Investigator and Polygraph Board.

The State of New Mexico. *The polygraph in 1999: Advances in Polygraph Science*. Continuing education workshop for New Mexico Polygraph Examiners, Albuquerque, New Mexico, 20 and 21 March, 1999. Co-conducted with John C. Kircher, Ph. D. Partially funded by the State of New Mexico, Private Investigator and Polygraph Board.

Court Appointed Special Advocate. Child witnesses: Credibility and its assessment. In service training. Boise, Idaho 10 September 2001, 26 November 2002, 1 February 2005.

Idaho Criminal Defense Lawyers Association, Invited CLE lecture, *The Psychology of Confessions: Issues and Concerns from Psychological Science*. November 3, 2006, Boise, Idaho.

The State of New Mexico. *The polygraph in 2007*. Continuing education workshop for New Mexico Polygraph Examiners, Albuquerque, New Mexico, January 20, 2007. Funded by the State of New Mexico, Private Investigator and Polygraph Board. (8 hours of presentation.)

Mississippi Polygraph Association. *The polygraph in 2007*. Continuing education workshop for Polygraph Examiners. Tunica Mississippi. (8 hours of presentation).

*Credibility Assessment Research Summit (CARS)* held by the Counterintelligence Field Activity (CIFA), Combating Terrorism Technology Support Office (CTTSO), and the Defense Academy for

*Credibility Assessment (DACA)*. Vienna, Virginia May 23-25, 2007, Member of the Organizing Committee.

Texas Association of Law Enforcement Polygraph Investigators (TALEPI). 2007 Training Seminar. Topics covered: False Confessions, Polygraph Technique Validation Studies, Utah Zone Technique, Polygraph Countermeasures. Austin Texas, June 18 and 19, 2007. <http://www.talepi.org/07SeminarARC.pdf>

Israeli Polygraph Examiners Association. 2008 Training Seminar. *The Utah Approach to the Comparison Question Test* (6 hours) and *Polygraph Countermeasures* (2 hours), Dead Sea, Israel, January, 18 and 19, 2008.

Credibility Assessment at Portals Workgroup held by the Defense Academy for Credibility Assessment (DACA). McLean, Virginia, December 4 and 5, 2008.

Conducting research with the comparison question test. Workshop held at the Psychology Department, John Jay College of Criminal Justice, New York, New York, 2 April 2009.

I provided continuing education instruction in an advanced polygraph course in Lexington, KY to a group of 15 Singapore and Bruneian government examiners. During this course, I provided 16 hours of instruction on the topics of the Utah Technique, Utah Scoring System, Use of DLCs, Countermeasures and False Confessions. (June 4-5, 2013).

*Basic polygraph instrumentation and an introduction to polygraph testing*. A workshop provided for faculty and graduate students in the Department of Psychology, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, (November 17, 2014).

### **Editorial Activities:**

---

#### **Founder and Editor of:**

<i>The Journal of Credibility Assessment and Witness Psychology</i>	1996-2010
<i>Polygraph-Law Resource Page</i>	1996-2011

<b>Associate Editor, <i>Polygraph</i></b>	1988 to 1990, 2015
---	--------------------

#### **Member of the Editorial Board,**

<i>Forensic Reports</i>	1991-1992
<i>European Polygraph</i>	2008-2013

#### **Ad Hoc Editorial Consultant:**

American Psychological Society, Convention Proposal Reviewer	1993
American Psychology - Law Society, Convention Proposal Reviewer	1993, 04, 12, 13
American Psychological Association Convention Proposal Reviewer	2004
American Psychological Association Book Reviewer	2009
<i>Applied Cognitive Psychology</i>	2001, 06(3), 07, 08, 09
<i>Applied Developmental Science</i>	2001
<i>Behavioral Sciences and the Law</i>	1996
<i>Crime Laboratory Digest</i>	1993



<i>Criminal Justice and Behavior</i>	2002, 2004, 2005
Decepticon: International Conference on Deceptive Behavior Cambridge, United Kingdom, paper reviews	2015
<i>Developmental Psychology</i>	1995
<i>Forensic Science Communications Review</i>	2000, 01, 03
<i>Journal of Applied Psychology</i>	1992, 93, 00, 02, 03
<i>Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition</i>	2014
<i>Journal of Experimental Psychology: General</i>	2002, 2004
<i>Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied</i>	2003, 04-07, 10
<i>Journal of Forensic Sciences</i>	2002, 07
<i>Journal of General Psychology</i>	1998
<i>Journal of Personality and Social Psychology</i>	1991 thru 1998
<i>Journal of Personality and Social Psychology: Personality Processes and Individual Differences</i>	2004
<i>Journal of Physiology and Behavior</i>	2007, 2008
<i>Journal of Psychophysiology</i>	1999
<i>Law and Human Behavior</i>	1994, 2004, 05, 06(2) 07(4), 08, 12
<i>Learning and Motivation</i>	2015
<i>Legal and Criminological Psychology</i>	2006
<i>Nature</i>	2001
<i>Nordic Psychology</i>	2013
New York University Press	2009
<i>Perceptual and Motor Skills</i>	2013
<i>Physiology and Behavior</i>	2014
<i>Psychology, Crime &amp; Law</i>	2011
<i>Psychology, Public Policy, &amp; Law</i>	2007, 09, 10, 11
<i>Psychological Bulletin</i>	1993, 94, & 99
<i>Psychological Science</i>	2014
<i>Psychophysiology</i>	1995, 98, 99, & 04
Rocky Mountain Psychological Association Program Committee	1987, 88, 99, 02
Worth Publishing, book chapter reviewer	2012

**Grant Proposal/Report Reviewer:**

National Science Foundation	1997, 2001
European Science Foundation	2009
Israel Science Foundation	2011
Department of Defense Polygraph Institute	2001, 02, 03, 04(2)
National Center for Credibility Assessment	2011
Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada	2004, 05, 06, 13

**Student Supervision:**

---

---

**Psychology Department, University of North Dakota**

**Doctoral Committees (Chair):**

Martine Mizwa. *Validation of the victim empathy scale.* Completed: August 1993

Mary Devitt, *Time and suggestion by significant persons: Effects on memory for complete events.*  
Completed: May 1995

Susan Amato. *Effects of misinformation on the concealed knowledge test.* Completed, April 1996.

Marcia Moberg. *The utility of statement validity assessment in differentiating between false reports and true memories in children.* Completed December 1999.

Marcus Tye. *Effects of brief training in statement validity assessment on the ability of lay evaluators to determine the truthfulness of children's statements.*  
Completed, April, 1996.

Member: Jacqueline Brouse, Anne Dowrenwend, Nasrin Erfanian, Ron Hougen, Jeff Kearney, Maria Kearney, Sally Kennedy, Arlinda Kristjanson, Cami Lokken, Don Newberry, Kate Onyeneho, Marty Witucki

**Master's Committees (Chair):**

Sheila Rydell, *Defendant occupation and victim resistance as mediators of attributions of responsibility for rape.* Completed : July, 1992.

Mary Devitt, *A study of the relative accuracy of discriminant analysis, logistic regression, and back propagation neural network classifiers in a psychophysiological detection of deception problem.*  
Completed: August, 1992.

Susan Amato, *A survey of member of the Society for Psychophysiological Research regarding the polygraph: Opinions and implications.* Completed: December 1993.

Marcia Moberg, *A study of differential effects of defense and prosecution expert witnesses.*  
Completed : August 1993.

Marcus Tye, *Criteria-based content analysis of children's statements about a mock crime compared with the evaluations of naive subjects.* Completed May 1994.

Lynelle Vondergeest, *Juror decision making.* Completed: May 1995.

Steven Westby, *General media publicity and jury deliberations.* Completed: July 1994.

Member: Jean Caraway, Brent Gillund, Heidi Jensen, Jeff Kearney, Don Newberry, Margo Norton, Gail Robbins, Shannan McKenzie

**Senior Thesis Committees (Chair):**

Randy Telander, *Bingo, who is playing and why?* Completed: May 1995.

Lynelle Vondergeest, *Effects of juror and expert witness gender on jurors' perceptions of the expert witness.* Completed: February, 1992.

Marcus Winbush. *Countermeasures and the guilty knowledge test.* Completed: May 1993.

Member: Margo Adams, Keri Anderson, Shelly Kumru

**Boise State University, Department of Psychology**

**Senior Thesis**

Katieann Skogsberg, *Case studies of EEG biofeedback training using a measurement of affect.* Completed May 2003. (Chair).

Ginny Gragg, *Boostrapping the polygraph.* Completed: May 1999. (Chair)

Cara Lundquist (1999).

Wendy Alloway. *The effects of internet information on the validity of the TES.* Thesis project for AY 2001-2002 (Chair).

Andrea Webb (2002). *The effects of age, gender, religion, and religious strength on obedience to authority: A comparative study.* Honor's Thesis. Completed May 2002. (Member).

Julie Crow (2006). *Treatment of sex offenders.* Honor's Thesis. Completed May 2006. (Chair)

**Post-Doctoral**

Anne Gordon, Ph. D. (1998 - 2000)

Racheal Reavy, Ph. D. (2008 - 2009)

**Doctoral**

Paul Bernhardt (2005; University of Utah). *Effects of Prior Demonstrations of Polygraph Accuracy on Outcomes of Probable-lie and Directed-lie Polygraph Tests* (Member).

Andrea Webb (2008; University of Utah). *Effects of Motivation and Item Difficulty On Oculomotor and Behavioral Measures of Deception.* (Member).

**University Instruction:**

---

**Department of Psychology, Boise State University**

Research Methods	1995-2000, 10, 11
Introduction to Statistics	1996, 04, 05
Psychology and Law	1998-2015
General Psychology (Large Lecture with TAs)	1999, 01, 04
General Psychology (Small Section)	2002
Physiological Psychology	2000, 2005-12
Cognitive Psychology	2001
Learning Theory	2007
History and Systems in Psychology	2009-14
Industrial/Organizational Psychology	2012-14
The Biological Bases of Behavior	2013, 15
Personality Theories	2014

**Psychology Department, University of North Dakota**

Introductory Psychology (Large Lecture with 2 TAs)	1990-91-92
Introduction to Statistics (Large Lecture with 2 TAs)	1990-91-93-94
Industrial & Organizational Psychology	1991-92-94-95
Introduction to Personality Theory	1991
Advanced Univariate Statistics + Research Design (Graduate Course)	1991 thru 1995
Cognitive and Affective Bases of Behavior (Graduate Course)	1993-95
Theories of Personality (Graduate Course)	1993-95
Psychology and Law (Graduate Seminar)	1992
Advanced Topics in Research Design and Statistics (Graduate Seminar)	1994

**Psychology Department, University of Utah**

Detection of Deception Workshop (Annual)	1982 thru 1994
Sensation & Perception	1984-85-87
Theories of Personality	1985-87
Research Methods, with Laboratory	1986
Introduction to Psychology (Large Section with 1 TA)	1987
Statistical Methods in Psychology	1988

**Department of Psychology, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University**

Introductory Psychology Laboratory	1981
Sensation Laboratory	1981
Perception Laboratory	1982
Teaching Fellow for History and Systems in Psychology	1982

**Department of Psychology, Jacksonville State University and the  
Department of Defense Polygraph Institute joint Master's Degree Program**

Psychological Bases of the Detection of Deception (Graduate Course)	1989
Psychophysiological Assessment (Graduate Course)	1990

**Other Professional Instruction:**

---

**Arizona School of Polygraph Science**

Psychology and Physiology in the Basic Polygraph Examiner Course	1985-88, 91
--	-------------

**Canadian Police College**

Psychophysiology, Psychopharmacology, Polygraph Research, Numerical Scoring for the Basic Polygraph Examiner Course	1986 88 90-98
--	---------------

**Center for Professional Development, The Air University at Maxwell AFB**

Guest Instructor in the Advanced Trial Advocacy Course (ATAC)	1989-90
---	---------

**Department of Defense Polygraph Institute, Fort McClellan, Alabama**

Research Methods, Basic Polygraph Examiner Course	1989
Instructor, Courtroom Testimony Course	1990

**Federal Bureau of Investigation, FBI Academy, Quantico, Virginia**

Advanced Topics in Detection of Deception	1989
---	------

**Utah Academy of Forensic Polygraph, Orem Utah**

Instructor, Advanced Topics in Detection of Deception	1983
---	------

**Professional Organizations:**

---

Association for Psychological Science (formerly American Psychological Society; Charter Member; Fellow, 2006)	Joined: 1989
American Psychological Association	Joined: 1984
American Psychology - Law Society	Joined: 1987
Rock Mountain Psychological Association	Joined: 1987
Society for Psychophysiological Research	1981 to 1997

**Psychology Department Committee Service, University of North Dakota:**

---

Graduate Admissions	90/91 - 92/93
Colloquium	1990 to 1992
Departmental Resources	1991/92
Personnel (Chair)	1991/92
Faculty Evaluation	1992/93

**Psychology Department Committee Service, Boise State University:**

---

Tenure and Promotion (College)	1996/97/11/12
Curriculum (College)	1997/98
Faculty Development (Senate)	1997/98
Personnel (Department)	98/99/00/03/04/06/10 11/12/13
Dean's Research Awards Committee	2000/01/04
General Psychology Subject Pool (Department)	00/01/03/04-08
SSPA Dean's Evaluation Committee (University)	2003, 12
Webmaster Experimentrix/Experiment Management System	2008-11
Department Head Search Committee (Chair)	2013

**Professional Licensing:**

---

Commonwealth of Virginia, Polygraph Examiner, Certificate #310, Inactive.  
Issued: 7 June 1977

State of Utah, Detection of Deception Examiner, License #860065, Inactive.  
Issued 1 January 1983

State of North Dakota, Detection of Deception Examiner, D-0025  
Issued 6 October 1992 through 2007. Inactive.

State of New Mexico, Detection of Deception Examiner, 152 Y  
Issued 1 July 1995. Active.

**Consultations for Public Agencies:**

---

Arizona Department of Public Safety  
Indiana State Police  
Idaho Department of Health and Welfare: Family and Children's Services  
Inspector General, State of Utah  
Inspector General, State of Oklahoma  
Police Department, Duchesne County; Utah  
Police Department, Fargo, North Dakota  
Police Department, Lincoln County; Wyoming  
Police Department, University of Utah  
Police Department, West Valley City, Utah  
Quebec Provincial Police  
Royal Canadian Mounted Police  
United States Secret Service  
United States Air Force Office of Special Investigations

**Expert Witness Testimony:**

---

1. Alamogordo, New Mexico. New Mexico Board of Education, Appeals, August 19, 1983 (Polygraph)
2. Los Angeles, California. *United States vs. DeLorean*. Federal District Court, November 23, 1983 (Polygraph Admissibility Hearing).
3. Carson City, Nevada. Nevada Gaming Control Board, February 7, 1984 (Polygraph)
4. Davis County, Utah District Court, August 13, 1984 (Polygraph)
5. Salt Lake County, Utah District Court, September 26, 1984 (Polygraph)
6. Utah Medical Association, Grievance Committee, February 28, 1985 (Polygraph)
7. Box Elder County, Utah District Court, March 15, 1985 (Polygraph)
8. Campbell County Court, Gillette, Wyoming, May 17, 1985 (Polygraph)
9. Duchesne County, Utah District Court, September 24, 1985 (Polygraph)
10. Salt Lake County, Utah District Court, November 6, 1985 (Polygraph)
11. Bernalillo County, New Mexico District Court, August 1, 1986 (Polygraph)
12. Quebec Provincial Court, District of Terrebonne, Canada, June 29, 1993 (False Confession & the polygraph).
13. Troop County, Georgia State Court, August 20, 1993, *Georga v. Rilling*. (Polygraph hearing.)
14. Sweetwater County, Wyoming District Court, October 29, 1993, *Wyoming v. Muniz*, CR-9212-0035 (Statement Analysis and Child Witness Issues, at trial)
15. East Saint Louis, IL., Federal District Court, November 18, 1993, *U. S. v. Davis*, Criminal No. 93-30003-WLB (False Confession & the Polygraph, deposition)
16. Hubbard County, Minnesota, District Court, April 5, 1994 *In the matter of the welfare of Timothy John Schmid*, No. J7-93-50113 (Statement Analysis and Child Witness Issues, at trial.)
17. Santa Fe, New Mexico, Federal District Court, March 10, 1995, *U. S. v. Galbreth*, Criminal No. 94-197 MV (Polygraph hearing)
18. Clarke County, Superior Court, Athens Georgia, April 7, 1995, (Polygraph hearing)
19. Bismarck, ND, Federal District Court, May 25, 1995, *U. S. v. Poitra*, No. ALL 94-02 (Statement Analysis with Child Witnesses hearing)
20. Bernalillo County, New Mexico District Court, September 12, 1995, *New Mexico v. Harris*, No. CR 92-01433 (Polygraph, at trial)
21. Ada County, Idaho District Court, *Griffith v. Melgaard*, November 17, 1995 (Polygraph, at trial) November 22, 1995 (Polygraph and Child Witness Issues, at trial)
22. Savannah, Georgia, United States District Court, July 11, 1996, *U. S. v. Gilliard*, No. CR-196-019 (Polygraph hearing)
23. Colorado Springs, Colorado, District Court, September 3, 1996, *David Law v. City of Colorado Springs et al.*, Civil Action No. 92CV0896 (Polygraph, at trial)
24. Alameda, California, District Court, October 8, 1996 *People v. Winger*, No. 128040A (Polygraph hearing)
25. Lafayette, Louisiana, United States District Court, November 12, 1996, *U. S. v. Callier* (Polygraph hearing).
26. Thermopolis, Wyoming, District Court, *LaBoy v. Upton*, 1996 (Polygraph, at trial).
27. Sandy, Utah, Utah District Court, February 24, 1997, *Utah v. Rappleye* (Child Witness Issues, at trial)
28. Lincoln, Nebraska, United States District Court, 8 April 1997, *Richter v. Bartee*, No. 4: CV95-3309 via telephone (Polygraph, post-conviction hearing.)
29. Cambridge, Massachusetts, Superior Court, July 14, 1997, *Commonwealth v. Woodward*, No. 97-433 (Polygraph hearing)
30. Martinsville, Indiana, District Court, December 15, 1997, *Indiana v. Hubbard*, CAUSE NO: 55C01-9612-CF-271 (Polygraph hearing)



31. Bisbee, Arizona, Cochise County Superior Court, February 5, 1998, *Arizona v. Sebastian*, No. CR97000030 via telephone (Polygraph hearing)
32. Albuquerque, New Mexico, New Mexico District Court, February 17, 1998, *New Mexico v. Mann*, CR No. 96-2874 (Polygraph, at trial)
33. Fairfax, Virginia, Circuit Court of Fairfax, 4 March 1998, *Commonwealth v. Iliff*. (Child Witness Issues, admissibility hearing)
34. Cambridge, Massachusetts, Superior Court, 19 March 1998, *Commonwealth v. Henry*, Nos. 96-129 (001-002). (Polygraph hearing)
35. Phoenix, Arizona, United States District Court, 31 March 1998, *U. S. v. Guyer*. (Polygraph hearing)
36. Santa Ana, California, United States District Court, *U. S. v. Gillett*, SA CR 97-70-AHS 10 July 98. (Polygraph hearing).
37. Colorado Springs, Colorado, District Court, 17 July 98, *Colorado v. Morgan*. (Polygraph hearing)
38. Colorado Springs, Colorado, District Court, 21 July 98, *Colorado v. Morgan*. (Child Witness Issues, at trial)
39. Tucson, Arizona, United States District Court, 20 October 98. *U.S. v. Benavidez-Benavidez*, Case No. Cr 98-674-TUC-FRZ, *U.S. v. Pisciotta* (Combined Polygraph Hearing)
40. Pocatello, Idaho, District Court, 23 October 98. *Idaho v. Wilkins*, Case No. CRFE 98-00284B (Polygraph hearing)
41. Portage County, Ohio, The Ohio Court of Common Pleas, 30 April 1999. *State v. Resh*, Case No. 90 CR 0068, and *State v. Gondor*, Case No. 90 CR 0067 (Polygraph, post conviction evidentiary hearing, cases consolidated)
42. Columbus, Ohio, Franklin County Court, 27 August 1999. *State v. Nichols*, Case No. 95CR-4299. (Polygraph, at trial)
43. Indianapolis, Indiana, 14 October 1999, via telephone. *Indiana v. Ben-Yisrayl*. Sworn testimony as an offer of proof in death penalty appeal (Polygraph).
44. Idaho Falls, Idaho, 25 October 1999, Idaho District Court, *Idaho v. Welch*, Case No. CR-99-2362 Additional testimony given in the same case on 12 November 1999 (Polygraph Hearing).
45. Springfield, Massachusetts, 18 and 19 November 1999, Superior Court, Hampden, ss, *Commonwealth v. Slonka*, Case Nos. 91-1479 & 91-1480. (Polygraph Hearing).
46. Albuquerque, New Mexico, 24 August 2000, 9th Judicial District Court, *State of New Mexico v. Eddie Taylor*. No. D-905-CR-99000118. (Polygraph Hearing, Telephone).
47. Denver, Colorado, 14 August 2000, *People v. Nathan Dunlap*, Case No. 95CR605, Arapahoe County District Court. Rule 35 motion for post-conviction review. (Polygraph)
48. Dallas, Texas, 6 September 2000, *Morse et al., v. Henson et al.* Sworn deposition. (Polygraph)
49. Boston, Massachusetts, 19 September 2000, *Commonwealth v. Toro*, Case No, 81-035974, 74. (Polygraph).
50. Albuquerque, New Mexico, 17 Nov 2000, 9th Judicial District Court, *State of New Mexico v. Eddie Taylor*. No. D-905-CR-99000118. (Polygraph Hearing, Telephone).
51. Coeur d' Alene, Idaho, 7 Feb 2001, First Judicial District (Kootenai County), *State of Idaho v. Mitch Cougar*, Case No. CRF 99-07211. (Polygraph)
52. Ogden, Utah, 25 April 2001, Second Judicial District, *Sate of Utah v. Phillip Christiansen*. (Child Witness Issues).
53. Sante Fe, New Mexico, 9 August 2001, First Judicial District, *In the Matter of M-S*, #JQ-01-09 (Polygraph).
54. Boise, Idaho, 11 March 2002, *Idaho v. Shaver*, Ada County Sentencing hearing. (Polygraph).
55. Bradenton, Florida, 11 October 2002, *State of Florida v. William T. Stacks*, Case no. 2001 CF 2419. Deposition via satellite. (Polygraph Malpractice).

56. Mount Holly, New Jersey, 24 October 2002, *State of New Jersey v. Patrick Free*, Indictment No. 98-06-0397-I. (Polygraph Malpractice, False Confession).
57. Bradenton, Florida, 26 November 2002, *State of Florida v. William T. Stacks*, Case no. 2001 CF 2419. Deposition via satellite. (Polygraph Malpractice).
58. Las Cruces, New Mexico, 16 and 17 January 2003. *State of New Mexico v. Kevin Lee*, Cause No. F-01-10-1029 Dona Ana County. Daubert Hearing (Polygraph.)
59. Caldwell, Idaho, 29 January 2003. *State of Idaho v. Joshua King*. Canyon County. Sentencing Hearing (Polygraph.)
60. Nezperce, Idaho, 23 April 2003. *State of Idaho v. Craig T. Perry*. District Court of Lewis County, Case No. CR-02-00082. Daubert Hearing (Polygraph.)
61. Lexington, Kentucky, 22 May 2003 and 14 July 2003. *Commonwealth of Kentucky v. Harold I. Stone, Jr.*, Case Number: 01-CR-00001. Daubert Hearing (Polygraph.)
62. Albuquerque, New Mexico, 2 and 3 July 2003. *Kevin Lee, et al., Petitioners, v. Hon. Lourdes Martinez, Respondents*, County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, Second Judicial District Court, No. CS-2003-00026 (Supreme Court No. 27,915). Daubert Hearing (Polygraph.)
63. Caldwell, Idaho, 24 July 2003 (hearing/offer of proof) and 25 July 2003 (testimony before the jury). *Idaho v. Sarah Pearce*, Case #03-5092C. (Eyewitness Memory)
64. Tucson, Arizona, 31 July 2003, Jacquelyn Smith, Severance Hearing (testimony by telephone.) (Polygraph)
65. Perth, Western Australia, 29 August 2003, *Between Andrew Mark Mallard and The Queen*, in the Supreme Court of Western Australia, Court of Criminal Appeal, CCA 135 of 2002. Testimony via satellite. (Polygraph Hearing).
66. Boise, Idaho, 10 March 2004, *Idaho v. Michael Roberts*, Case No. H0300981. Sentencing hearing. (Polygraph)
67. Rapid City, South Dakota, 2 September 2004, *United States v. Jonas Seth Not Help Him*, CR 04-50004. Suppression hearing. (Interrogation techniques and false confession)
68. York, South Carolina, 16 September 2004, *State of South Carolina v. Billy Wayne Cope*, Case Nos. H-023255; H-023258; H-023259; H-023260; H-023262; H-023263; H-023275; H-023276; H-023277; H-023278: H-509259. Jury Trial (Polygraph)
69. Rapid City, South Dakota, 22 September 2004, *United States v. Jonas Seth Not Help Him*, CR 04-50004. Trial. (Interrogation techniques)
70. Boise, Idaho, 18 April 2005, *State of Idaho v. Daniel Levitt*. Probation Violation Hearing. (Polygraph and False Confession)
71. Boise, Idaho 27 April 2005, *State of Iowa v. Jaun Macias* Criminal No. FECR052969 Iowa District Court for Woodbury County. Deposition regarding polygraph malpractice.
72. Atlanta, Georgia 8 & 9 September 2005, *United States v. Ricardo Cortez Williams*, Criminal Action No. 1:03-CR-636-JEC, In The United States District Court For The Northern District Of Georgia, Atlanta Division. (Daubert Hearing, Polygraph)
73. Mineola, Nassau County, New York, 17 November 2005, *The People of the State of New York v. John Kogut*, Indictment 61029, Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Nassau. (Polygraph testimony at trial).
74. Albuquerque, New Mexico, 9 February 2006, *State of New Mexico v. Mario Lucas Chavez*, No. CR-04-03558, Second Judicial District Court, County of Bernalillo. (Polygraph testimony at trial).
75. Caldwell, Idaho, 11 July 2006 *Idaho v. Sarah Pearce*, Idaho Supreme Court docket #30502, case #03-5092C, evidentiary proceeding for the district court to take proffered testimony pursuant to a limited remand from the Idaho Court of Appeals. (Eyewitness Identification Procedures).
76. Caldwell, Idaho, 28 March 2007 *Idaho v. Jeremy Hall*, CR# 06-23125, Canyon County. Daubert hearing and testimony before the jury. (Interrogation and False Confession).

77. Santa Fe, New Mexico, 10 October 2007. *City of Santa Fe v. Thomas K. Reed*. Municipal Court of Santa Fe, New Mexico. (Polygraph at trial).
78. Payette, Idaho, 12 February 2008, *Idaho v. Dale Morris Kyle, Sr.*, Payette County District Court, (Pre-trial Motions Hearing; Polygraph).
79. Rapid City, South Dakota, 11 September 2008, *U.S. v Benjamin High Horse*, CR 07-50091 (Suppression Hearing, testimony given by telephone; False Confession and Polygraph).
80. Waukegan, Illinois, 13 November 2008, *People of the State of Illinois v. Jaun Rivera*, No. 92 CF 2751 (Suppression Hearing, False Confession and Polygraph).
81. Boise, Idaho, 8 June 2009, *Laura Lee vs. Mahendra Gupta. M.D and Linton Hospital. d/b/a Linton Medical Center*. Case No. 15-06-C-00057, Civil No: 06-C-57, State of North Dakota in District Court, County of Emmons, South Central Judicial District. Deposition by telephone.
82. Flagstaff, Arizona, 24 June 2009, *State of Arizona vs. Daphne Henry*, CR2009-0165 (Coconino County, AZ) (Suppression Hearing, False Confession and Polygraph) by telephone.
83. Santa Fe, New Mexico, 25 June 2009. *State of New Mexico v. Steven James Cordova*. Testimony before the Grand Jury. (Polygraph).
84. Caldwell, Idaho, 3 November 2009. *State of Idaho v. Christopher James Latham*, CR-2008-24561-C. Daubert Hearing in the Third Judicial District Court of the State of Idaho (Interrogation and False Confession).
85. Caldwell, Idaho, 6 November 2009. *State of Idaho v. Christopher James Latham*, CR-2008-24561-C. Testimony before the jury in the Third Judicial District Court of the State of Idaho (Interrogation and False Confession).
86. Boise, Idaho, 9 June 2010, *State of Idaho v. Nolan*. Hearing concerning the admissibility of expert testimony on eyewitness factors. Juvenile Court.
87. Conway, South Carolina, 11 January 2011. *State of South Carolina, County of Horry v. Robert Andrew Palmer*. In the Court of General Sessions, Case Nos. 10G-GS 02196/02195 and 08-GS-26-04120 (Daubert Hearing on Polygraph Admissibility).
88. Clovis, New Mexico, 28 March 2011. *State v. Lonzell Wiggins*; D-0905-CR-200900892, Ninth Judicial District Court-Curry County, Clovis, NM (Rule 11-707 Hearing on the Polygraph, by telephone).
89. Boise, Idaho, 31 May 2011, *Stephan Cordova v. City of Albuquerque*, No. CV-2009-15455, State of New Mexico, County of Bernalillo, Second Judicial District Court. Deposition regarding polygraph.
90. Clovis, New Mexico, 3 November 2011. *State v. Lonzell Wiggins*; D-0905-CR-200900892, Ninth Judicial District Court-Curry County, Clovis, NM (Polygraph, before the jury at trial).
91. Vernal, Utah, 7 November 2011. *State of Utah, in the interest of Crow, Kes DOB 04/17/2007, A minor child*, Family Court. (Child witness issues at trial)
92. Albuquerque, New Mexico 8 August 2012. *State of New Mexico v. Grand Jury Target (MT)*, DA # 2009-01672-1. (Testimony before the Grand Jury concerning a polygraph result.)
93. Albuquerque, New Mexico 14 August 2012. *Melissa Gutierrez vs. Barbara Germain Killian*, Unemployment Compensation Appeal New Mexico Department of Workforce Solutions Appeals Tribunal (Testimony concerning a polygraph result.)
94. Huntsville, Missouri, 17 September 2012, *State v. Roland, Randolph County Case No. 12RA-CR00073-01*. Randolph County Court, Suppression Hearing (Polygraph and False Confession).
95. Juneau, Alaska, 20 November 2012, *State of Alaska v. Joseph Randall Dennis*, Case No. 1JU-12-21 CR, at trial (Testimony concerning Child Witness Interviews).
96. Morehead, Kentucky, 27 November 2012, *Commonwealth of Kentucky vs Ronald Christopher Fairchild*, No. 12-CR-238, Rowan Circuit Court, at trial (Polygraph Malpractice and False Confession).
97. Kamloops, British Columbia, Canada, 9 April 2013, *Regina vs. Adams, Carry and Correia*, Court File No., 28732 in the Supreme Court of British Columbia. Testimony concerning the use of polygraph with informants (Suppression Hearing) via video link from Boise Idaho.

98. Washington, DC, 22 April 2013, United States Department of Energy Administrative Hearing, designation *PSH-12-0144* (Sworn Presentation of Polygraph Examination Results) via telephone from Boise, Idaho.
99. Boise, Idaho, 29 April 2013, *Livers v. Schenck, et al.* No. 8:08 C 107, In the United States District Court for the District of Nebraska. Sworn Deposition taken at the offices of the Attorney General of the State of Idaho. (Polygraph malpractice resulting in a false confession).
100. Boise, Idaho, 30 July 2013, *State of Idaho vs. Patrick I. Rodriguez*, Case No. CR-FE-2012-13989, Sentencing hearing (Polygraph).
101. Gallup, New Mexico, 20 November 2013, *State of New Mexico vs. John Mayes*, Case No. D-1116-CR-201100780 - 1, Trial testimony before the jury (Polygraph).
102. Idaho Falls, Idaho, 16 April 2014, *State of Idaho vs. Leroy Wayne Simpson*, Case No. CR-2013-10350. Suppression hearing via video link from Boise, Idaho. (False Confession)
103. Boise, Idaho, 28 May 2014, *Jeffrey Deskovic vs. City of Peekskill*, No. CV-07-8150, United State District Court Southern District of New York (Deposition, Polygraph malpractice resulting in a false confession).
104. Clayton, Missouri, 16 July 2014, *State of Missouri vs. Shermell Crockett*. Case No. 12SL-CR05127, Saint Louis County Court. Suppression hearing. (False Confession)
105. Clayton, Missouri, 7 August 2014, *State of Missouri vs. Shermell Crockett*. Case No. 12SL-CR05127, Saint Louis County Court. Suppression hearing continuation. (False Confession by telephone)
106. White Plains, New York, 15 October 2014, *Jeffrey Deskovic v. Putnam County and Daniel Stephens*, United States District Court, Southern District of New York, Case No. 07-CV-8150 (Polygraph malpractice resulting in a false confession).
107. St. Albans, Vermont 19 November 2014, *State of Vermont v. Kevin W. Stanley*, Docket No. 1056-8-08 FrCr. Testimony by telephone. (Hearing regarding problems with post-conviction sex offender polygraph testing.)
108. Lihue, Kauai, Hawaii, 30 January 2015, *State of Hawaii v. Dean C. Wilson*, CR. No. 12-1-0345, In the Circuit Court of the Fifth Circuit, State of Hawaii. Polygraph Admissibility Hearing.
109. Coeur d' Alene, Idaho, 5 Feb 2015, First Judicial District (Kootenai County), *State of Idaho v. Daniel Abram Taylor*, Case No. CR-2013-0024363. Testimony regarding false confessions before the jury.
110. Lihue, Kauai, Hawaii, 6 March 2015, *State of Hawaii v. Dean C. Wilson*, CR. No. 12-1-0345, in the Circuit Court of the Fifth Circuit, State of Hawaii. Polygraph Admissibility Hearing, conclusion of #108.
111. Fort Bragg, North Carolina, 7 April 2015, *United States v. Dobbins, Jason P. SSG, U.S. Army*, in the Second Judicial Circuit, U.S. Army. Testimony by telephone in a hearing on a motion to compel expert assistance.
112. Clarksville, Tennessee, 16 April 2015, *State of Tennessee v. Keven Yaepez*, Docket No. 441300576. Suppression hearing. Testimony about false confessions and psychological coercion.